102 MONITOR

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

VOLUME 9
NO. 10
OCTOBER, 1979

WILLIAM N. HEDEMAN, JR., DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW, U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, SPEAKS AT THE SEMINAR ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT: COSTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND THE BENEFITS THEY YIELD IN IMPROVEMENTS TO PROJECTS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, SPONSORED BY THE ECONOMIC COMMISSION FOR EUROPE in VILLACH, AUSTRIA on SEPTEMBER 24-29, 1979.

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY - THIRD PROGRESS REPORT ON AGENCY IMPLEMENTING PROCEDURES UNDER THE NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT. F.R./VOL.44, NO. 188/WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 26, 1979

Questions or comments on this publication should be addressed to:

EDITOR
102 Monitor
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Environmental Review (A-104)
Washington, D.C. 20460
(202) 245-3006

COPIES OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS MAY BE OBTAINED FROM:

1. AGENCY CONTACT: The name, address and telephone number for each Federal Agency is listed within the text of the EISs filed.

2. ENVIRONMENTAL LAW INSTITUTE
1346 Connecticut Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 452-9600

(They will provide zeroxed copies at cost per page)

3. INFORMATION RESOURCES PRESS
Suite 316
2100 M Street, NW
Washington, D.C. 20037

Zeroxed Copies - $ 0.20 per page
Microfiche - $6.00 per statement up to five fiche (490 pages), $1.75 each additional fiche (98 pages)

Postage and handling will be added to orders for microfiche or hard copies of single statements.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	Speech by William N. Hedeman, Jr.	1
2.	CEQ Third Progress Report on Agency Implementing Procedures Under the NEPA	14
3.	State Index of EIS's Filed During SEPTEMBER, 1979	17
4	102 Monthly Statement Summary for SEPTEMBER, 1979	23
5.	Environmental Impact Statements Filed SEPTEMBER, 1979	24
6	Cumulative Summary for 1979	62
7.	Subscription Information	63

COSTS OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND THE BENEFITS THEY YIELD IN IMPROVEMENTS TO PROJECTS AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

BY

WILLIAM N. HEDEMAN, JR.
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW
U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

Presented at the Seminar on Environmental Impact Assessment
sponsored by the Economic Commission for Europe
in Villach, Austria on September 24-29, 1979

Environmental impact statements (EISs) were introduced into the decision-making processes of the United States Government by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969. The Act requires in Section 102 (2)(C) that all agencies of the Federal Government:

> Include in every recommendation or report on proposals for legislation and other major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, a detailed statement by the responsible official...

The detailed statement has become known as the EIS.

EISs have been both lauded and maligned. Supporters of NEPA and EISs claim numerous benefits from EISs and the other aspects of the NEPA process. The benefits claimed include:

- Reduction of potential adverse environmental impacts of projects both after proposal and during project formulation;

- Institutionalization of environmental values in government and corporate planning and decision-making; and

- Increased public disclosure and public involvement in decision-making.

In short, proponents of NEPA argue the statute has brought about substantive changes in decision-making to the benefit of the environment.

NEPA's critics, on the other hand, argue that these benefits are either illusory or not the result of NEPA. They claim:

- Any reduction in potential adverse impacts is a result of sound planning and project formulation, which are improvements in the decision-making process that have been evolving long before NEPA and would have continued without it;

- NEPA is principally a procedural hurdle that duplicates existing administrative processes and causes substantial delay in decision-making; litigation over whether these procedures have been properly followed can result in further delay; and

- EISs are a paperwork nightmare.

In summary, NEPA's detractors argue that any decision-making improvements measured should not be attributed to EISs or NEPA, and that EISs are principally a non-substantive procedural requirement that result in considerable delay and the generation of substantial paperwork.

The true worth of EISs and other aspects of the NEPA pro-

cess is not only of great importance to a nation considering the establishment of EIS requirements for the first time, but it is also of critical interest to agencies of the United States government who must spend increasingly scarce resources to comply with NEPA. Our government agencies have substantial discretion in deciding which proposed actions need EISs and how they will design their own internal procedures for compliance with NEPA.

In response to the questions raised concerning the worth of EISs and the pressure of competition for scarce resources, EPA undertook a study of its own EISs to see if it could identify the benefits derived from EISs as well as their costs.

Before discussing the results of the EPA analysis, I will explain the programs in which EPA prepares EISs. There are three basic program areas where we prepare EISs:

- Wastewater treatment construction grants: This is a program administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency that funds up to 85% of the cost of constructing a wastewater treatment facility in a city or town.

- New source national pollutant discharge elimination sytem permits: These are permits issued to new industries who plan to discharge effluent into U.S. waters during their operation.

- Standard-setting regulations for selected air, noise,

radiation, and other EPA actions.

EPA is required by law to apply NEPA to the first two programs. The third program is voluntary. My remarks will deal with EISs on the first two programs.

The wastewater treatment construction grant program is currently the largest public works program in the U.S. government. Grants to assist municipalities to construct public wastewater (sewage) treatment works are totaling approximately $2 - $6 billion per year. There are three major steps involved in constructing a treatment facility:

- Step 1: submission by the grantee of a facility plan describing the water quality problems, alternative solutions, the proposed project, and the environmental impacts of the proposed project;

- Step 2: preparation of detailed design drawings and specifications for the proposed project; and

- Step 3: construction of the project.

EISs are generally prepared on the facility plan, early in the development process, to assure maximum effectiveness of the EISs. EISs are prepared in EPA's regional offices which are located in each of ten cities in the United States. The EISs may be prepared in-house by EPA staff, by an EPA contractor, or by a contractor jointly selected by the grantee

and EPA and paid for by the grantee. The final EIS must be completed and the project modified if necessary before a grant for design (i.e., Step 2) can be awarded.

The EPA National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) new source permit is simply a water discharge permit given to new industrial dischargers. An applicant for such a permit is asked to prepare an environmental assessment, which EPA uses to decide if an EIS must be prepared. If an EIS must be prepared, it may be prepared in any of the three ways mentioned earlier for facility plan EISs. The final EIS must be completed before the permit can be issued.

To document the benefits and costs of EISs, data were gathered via interviews with EPA staff and reviews of documents in each of our ten regional offices. A specific series of questions was asked about each EIS that had been issued in draft or final form in the past 18 months to two years. A total of 60 EISs were evaluated: 51 construction grant EISs and 9 new source EISs. The following quantitative information was gathered:

- Changes that occurred in projects as a result of the EIS;

- Environmental amenities protected or enhanced as a result of the EIS;

- Public participation activities undertaken because of the EIS; and

- Costs of the EIS, including project cost increases and decreases attributable to the EIS.

It is obvious that the first three items do not represent the ultimate benefits that could result from EISs. Since measuring most of the benefits is almost impossible, however, we decided to use these three proxies because they are quantitative, because data for calculating them is available, and because they are reasonably accurate indicators of the ultimate benefits.

For construction grant EISs, the specific project changes that were identified and totaled included:

- Size (capacity) of the wastewater treatment plant and/or interceptors (sewer pipes);
- Location of the plant or interceptor pipe systems;
- Level of treatment;
- Areas to be serviced;
- Sludge disposal;
- Hook-up controls;
- Method of effluent disposal; and
- Land use priority.

The categories of change are self-explanatory with the possible exception of hook-up controls and land use priority. The former refers to zoning ordinance limitations on access to sewer service for certain areas of the community where development is not desirable, such as in floodplains, wetlands, prime agricultural lands,etc.

Land use priority refers to cases where the EIS, which includes population projections, growth projections, and land use planning alternatives, engenders in the community an awareness of the options for growth and the desire to insure that the most desirable alternative is chosen. This increased awareness is usually characterized by a citizen-initiated effort to develop (or alter existing) zoning ordinances, subdivision (housing development) regulations, and land use plans.

For new source EISs the following project changes were identified:

- Size (capacity) of the treatment plant associated with the industrial facility;

- Location of the facility or transmission corridors (in the case of a power plant);

- Level of treatment of the water effluents and air emissions; and

- Fly ash disposal.

Most of the EISs on new sources were electric power generating plants; hence, the emphasis was on changes relating to power generation.

The second major question answered for both facility plan EISs and new source EISs concerned the protection of environmental amen-

ities. The study documented the enhancement of environmental amenities, or the mitigation or elimination of adverse environmental impacts on:

- Wetlands;
- Floodplains;
- Endangered species (and their habitat);
- Prime agricultural lands;
- Open space and recreational land;
- Aquifer recharge areas (groundwater);
- Surface water quality or quantity;
- Air quality;
- Wild and scenic rivers;
- Historic sites;
- Archeological sites; and
- Other amenities, as well as the prevention or reduction of urban sprawl.

These elements are those environmental amenities most frequently protected or enhanced as a result of the EIS process. Although they are often directly linked to the major changes noted earlier, this is not always true. In some instances, conditions are attached to the construction grant or new source permit which are indirectly or only remotely related to the major changes caused by the EIS.

The third area investigated was public participation. Since improvements or increases in public participation were very difficult to measure directly, the number of public participation

events brought about by the EIS was used instead. It includes public hearings, special meetings, special mailings, and media supplements. Public hearings are ordinarily held after the draft EISs are issued to formally provide for public comment. Special meetings are usually held to provide information to all interested parties, and to receive input from them during the development of the draft or final EIS. Special mailings serve the same general purpose by mail. Media supplements are inserts in the newspapers, which may describe the project and the NEPA process, and announce special meetings and public hearings.

Finally, costs associated with EIS preparation were studied in detail.

The study yielded an average of 2.5 major changes per EIS for all EISs. The average for construction grant EISs was 2.7 and the average for new source EISs was 1.1.

Environmental amenities protected by the EISs averaged 3.1 per EIS overall, 3.3 for construction grant EISs, and 1.8 for new source EISs.

All but one of the 51 construction grant EISs caused at least one major change to the originally proposed project. For environmental amenities protected, eleven construction grant EISs had only one while the rest had between 2 and 10.

One of the nine new source EISs had no major project changes, one caused three changes and the remainder caused one each. All

new source EISs with one exception resulted in protection of one or more environmental amenities.

The lower results for changes and environmental benefits caused by new source EISs is attributed to the smaller opportunity for alteration of new source projects. An EIS is not as likely to influence the level of productivity of a new source industry, where much of the decision-making comes from the private sector on purely economic grounds and long before any environmental concerns become apparent.

The average number of public participation events for all EISs was 2.3 per EIS, 3.1 for construction grant EISs, and 1.3 for new source EISs. It was, in the opinion of EPA regional personnel interviewed in this study, much more difficult to generate public interest in new source NPDES permits than in the award of grants for wastewater treatment plants and systems. Wastewater treatment plants seem to generate more interest because they often result in increased service costs to households, and because no one wants a treatment plant built

cost analysis. All of the new source EIS preparation and project cost data represents rough estimates, as most of the information came from the various permit applicants who were paying for EIS preparation under a third party agreement. The average project cost was $432,570,000. The average ratio of EIS cost to project cost is .074%.

When EIS delay time was factored in to determine total net cost change as a percentage of the total project cost, nineteen EISs caused net cost reductions and thirty one caused net cost increases (only 12 of those were greater than 5%). More significantly, however, the net of all cost increases and decreases was a savings of $472,937,997 tax dollars. One EIS alone caused a $438.4 million saving. In calculating the costs of delay attributed to the EISs, a penalty of 8.5% inflation per year compounded annually was assessed based on the total project cost.

Monetary value of environmental amenities protected and increased public participation gained as a result of the EIS process have not been factored into the cost change calculations because of the difficulty of assigning dollar values to such benefits. But, reasonable assumptions suggest that, on balance, all of these EISs have been more beneficial than costly. For example, while a specific value cannot be assigned to wetlands, their value for the remainder of time compared with their immediate destruction is monumental. Most of the environmental amenities protected are characterized by the permanence of any destruction that could occur

Wetlands, floodplains, endangered species, aquifer recharge

areas, wild and scenic rivers, historic sites, and archeological sites cannot be resurrected once they have been adversely impacted. Air quality, surface water quality, open space and recreational lands, and prime agricultural lands could be regained only at great expense in time and money. Likewise, urban sprawl represents an impact very nearly impossible to reverse. Thus, the value of environmental amenities over extended time periods are likely to make the costs of EIS preparation and temporary project deferment pale beside them.

What can one conclude about EPA's EIS activities from these results? It is obvious that EISs bring about substantive changes in decision-making. Clearly, they are not merely a pro forma paperwork exercise. EISs also bring about increased protection or enhancement of numerous environmental amenities. The price one must pay for this in some cases is a slight increase in project costs. Surprisingly, however, in almost half of the cases studied there was a decrease in project cost because of changes made to the project. This demonstrates that EIS analysis can help improve the cost effectiveness of projects in addition to protecting the environment. The EIS process also seems to encourage an increase in public participation events. While we cannot say that the quality and amount of public participation increased, it is clear that the opportunity for these things to occur was improved.

Vermont Advisory Committee; Amended Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights that a planning meeting of the Vermont Advisory Committee (SAC) of the Commission originally scheduled for October 15, 1979, at Montpelier, Vermont (FR Doc. 79-29180, page 54531) has been changed.

The meeting will now be held October 18, 1979. The time and place will remain the same.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 21, 1979.

John I. Binkley,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 79-29843 Filed 9-25-79; 8:30 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Technical Information Service

Government-Owned Inventions; Availability for Licensing

The inventions listed below are owned by the U.S. Government and are available for domestic and possibly foreign licensing in accordance with the licensing policies of the agency-sponsors.

Copies of the patents cited are available from the Commissioner of Patents & Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, for $.50 each. Requests for copies of patents must include the patent number.

Copies of the patent applications can be purchased from the National Technical Information Service (NTIS), Springfield, Virginia 22161 for $4.00 ($8.00 outside North American Continent). Requests for copies of patent applications must include the PAT-APPL number. Claims are deleted from patent application copies sold to the public to avoid premature disclosure in the event of an interference before the Patent and Trademark Office. Claims and other technical data will usually be made available to serious prospective licensees by the agency which filed the case.

Requests for licensing information on a particular invention should be directed to the address cited for the agency-sponsor.

Douglas J. Campion,

Patent Program Coordinator, National Technical Information Service.

U.S. Department of the Air Force, AF/JACP, 1900 Half Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20324.

Patent 4,147,858: Fluorocarbon Ether Bibenzoxazole Oligomers containing Reactive Acetylenic Terminal Groups, filed July 19, 1978; patented April 3, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,147,868: Acetylene-Substituted Aromatic Benzils and Acetylene-Terminated Quinoxaline Compositions, filed January 18, 1978; patented April 3, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,147,995: Foil Moderated Radioactive Preionization System for Gas Lasers, filed August 19, 1977; patented April 3, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,148,050: Radiation Dose Rate Hardened Light Detector, filed January 3, 1979; patented April 3, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,149,016: Perfluoroethers, filed November 16, 1977; patented April 10, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,149,884: High Specific Strength Polycrystalline Titanium-Based Alloys,filed June 30, 1978; patented April 17, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,151,358: Ethynyl-Substituted Bis-Naphthalimides, filed January 19, 1978; patented April 24, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,151,478: Nonlinearly Variable Grain Apparatus, filed January 3, 1978; patented April 24, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,151,539: Junction-Storage JFET Bucket-Brigade Structure, filed December 23, 1977; patented April 24, 1979; not available NTIS.

U.S. Department of the Navy, Assistant Chief for Patents, Office of Naval Research, Code 302, Arlington, Va. 22217.

Patent application 6-005-635: Electrochromic Optical Mask and Method of Fabrication, filed January 22, 1979.

Patent application 6-006,820: Collective Particle Accelerator, Filed February 2, 1979.

Patent application 6-010,859: Silicon Barrier Josephson Junction Configuration, filed February 9, 1979.

Patent application 6-013,093: Three Dimensional Integrated Circuits, filed February 21, 1979.

Patent application 6-024,136: Neutral Beam Sustained Astron Reactor, filed March 26, 1979.

Patent application 6-024,235: Solar Energy Heat-Storage Tank, filed March 26, 1979.

Patent application 6-027,691: V3Ga Composite Superconductor, filed April 6, 1979.

Patent application 965,755: Laser Device with Intraresonator Harmonic Generator, filed December 4, 1978.

Patent 4,124,368: Insensitive Ammonium Nitrate, filed October 1, 1976; patented November 7, 1978; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,124,452: Distillation Technique for Removal of UDMH from Water, filed May 22, 1978; patented November 7, 1978; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,125,725: Phenylated Carboxyquinoxalines, filed June 3, 1977; patented November 14, 1978; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,125,893: Integrated Refractive Effects Prediction System, filed March 25, 1977; patented November 14, 1978; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,126,732: Surface Passivation of IV-VI Semiconductors with As2S3, filed August 16, 1977; patented November 21, 1978; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,138,401: (3,2-g) Pyranoquinoline Derivatives, filed March 29, 1976; patented February 6, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,140,912: Atmospheric Radon Monitor, filed July 25, 1977; patented February 20, 1979; not available NTIS.

Patent 4,143,336: Xenon Bromide (XeBr) Excimer Laser, filed March 3, 1976; patented March 6, 1979; not available NTIS.

[FR Doc. 79-29775 Filed 9-25-79; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-04-M

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Third Progress Report on Agency Implementing Procedures Under the National Environmental Policy Act

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality.

ACTION: Information Only: Publication of Third Progress Report on Agency Implementing Procedures Under the National Environmental Policy Act.

SUMMARY: In response to President Carter's Executive Order 11991, on November 29, 1978, the Council on Environmental Quality issued regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). (43 FR 55978-56007; 40 CFR 1500-08) Section 1507.3 of the regulations provides that each agency of the Federal Government shall have adopted procedures to supplement the regulations by July 30, 1979. The Council has indicated to Federal agencies its intention to publish progress reports on agency efforts to develop implementing procedures under the NEPA regulations. The purpose of these progress reports, the third of which appears below, is to provide an update on where agencies stand in this process and to inform interested persons of when to expect the publication of proposed procedures for their review and comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas C. Yost, General Counsel, Council on Environmental Quality, 722 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006; 202-395-5750.

Third Progress Report on Agency Implementing Procedures Under the National Environmental Policy Act

At the direction of President Carter (Executive Order 11991), on November 29, 1978, the Council on Environmental Quality issued regulations implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). These regulations appear at

Volume 43 of the Federal Register, pages 55978–56007 and in forthcoming revisions to Volume 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, §§ 1500–1508. Their purpose is to reduce paperwork and delay associated with the environmental review process and to foster environmental quality through better decisions under NEPA.

Section 1507.3 of the NEPA regulations provides that each agency of the Federal government shall adopt procedures to supplement the regulations. The purpose of agency "implementing procedures," as they are called, is to translate the broad standards of the Council's regulations into practical action in Federal planning and decisionmaking. Agency procedures will provide government personnel with additional, more specific direction for implementing the procedural provisions of NEPA, and will inform the public and State and local officials of how the NEPA regulations will be applied to individual Federal programs and activities.

In the course of developing implementing procedures, agencies are required to consult with the Council and to publish proposed procedures in the Federal Register for public review and comment. Proposed procedures must be revised as necessary to respond to the ideas and suggestions made during the comment period. Thereafter, agencies are required to submit the proposed final version of their procedures for 30 days review by the Council for conformity with the Act and the NEPA regulations. After making such changes as are indicated by the Council's review, agencies are required to promulgate their final procedures. Although CEQ's regulations required agencies to publish their procedures by July 30, a number of Federal agencies did not meet this deadline.

The Council published its first progress report on agency implementation procedures on May 7, 1979, and its second progress report on July 23, 1979. (44 FR 26781–82; 44 FR 43037–38.) The third progress report appears below. The Council hopes that concerned members of the public will review and comment upon agency procedures to insure that the reforms required by President Carter and the Council's regulations are implemented. Agencies preparing implementing procedures are listed under one of the following four categories:

Category #1: Final Procedures Have Been Published

This category includes agencies whose final procedures have appeared in the Federal Register.

Central Intelligence Agency, 44 FR 45431 (Aug. 2, 1979).
Department of Agriculture, 44 FR 44602 (July 30, 1979): Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, 44 FR 50381 (August 28, 1979) (correction 44 FR 51272 (August 31, 1979)); Forest Service, 44 FR 44718 (July 30, 1979); Soil Conservation Service, 44 FR 50576 (August 29, 1979)
Department of Defense, 44 FR 46841 (August 9, 1979)
Export-Import Bank, 44 FR 50810 (August 30, 1979)
International Communications Agency, 44 FR 45469 (August 2, 1979)
Marine Mammal Commission, 44 FR 52837 (September 11, 1979)
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 44 FR 44485 (July 30) (correction: 44 FR 49650 (August 24, 1979))
Overseas Private Investment Corporation, 44 FR 51385 (August 31, 1979) [NEPA Procedures are contained in this agency's procedures implementing Executive Order 12114]

Category #2: Proposed Procedures Have Been Published

The category includes agencies whose proposed procedures have appeared in the Federal Register. Those agencies whose final procedures are expected within 30 days are marked with a single asterisk (*); those expected within 60 days by a double asterisk (**).

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 44 FR 40653 (July 12, 1979)*
Civil Aeronautics Board, 44 FR 45837 (August 3, 1979)
Department of Agriculture: Agriculture Stabilization and Conservation Service, 44 FR 44167 (July 27, 1979) (correction: 44 FR 45631 (August 3)); Rural Electrification Administration, 44 FR 28383 (May 15, 1979)*
Department of Defense: Department of the Air Force, 44 FR 44118 (July 26, 1979)*; Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, 44 FR 38292 (June 29, 1979)*
Department of Energy, 44 FR 42136 (July 18, 1979): Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 44 FR 50052 (August 27, 1979)*
Department of Housing and Urban Development: Community Development Block Grant Program, 44 FR 45568 (August 2, 1979)*
Department of the Interior, 44 FR 40436 (July 10, 1979): Bureau of Reclamation, 44 FR 47627 (August 14, 1979); Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, 44 FR 49523 (August 23, 1979)
Department of Justice, 44 FR 43751 (July 26, 1979):* Drug Enforcement Agency, 44 FR 43754 (July 26, 1979);* Immigration and Naturalization Service, 44 FR 43754 (July 26, 1979);* Bureau of Prisons, 44 FR 43753 (July 26, 1979)*
Department of Transportation, 44 FR 31341 (May 31, 1979):* Coast Guard 44 FR 37098 (June 25, 1979)*; Federal Aviation Administration, 44 FR 32094 (June 4, 1979)*; Federal Railroad Administration, 44 FR 40174 (July 9, 1979)*
Department of Treasury, 44 FR 39692 (July 6, 1979)*
Environmental Protection Agency, 44 FR 35158 (June 18, 1979)*
Federal Communications Commission, 44 FR 38913 (July 3, 1979)**
Federal Maritime Commission, 44 FR 29122 (May 18, 1979)
Federal Trade Commission, 44 FR 42712 (July 20, 1979)
General Services Administration, 44 FR 33485 (June 11, 1979): Public Buildings Program, 44 FR 27473 (May 10, 1979)
National Capital Planning Commission, 44 FR 33185 (June 8, 1979)*
National Science Foundation, 44 FR 46901 (August 9, 1979)**
Pennsylvania Avenue Development Corporation, 44 FR 45925 (August 6, 1979)
Postal Service, 44 FR 36091 (June 25, 1979) [Addition—44 FR 52262 (September 7, 1979)]*
Small Business Administration, 44 FR 45002 (July 31, 1979)*
Tennessee Valley Authority, 44 FR 39679 (July 6, 1979)*
Veterans Administration, 44 FR 48281 (August 17, 1979)*
Water Resources Council, 44 FR 43749 (July 26, 1979)**

Category #3: Anticipate Publication of Proposed Procedures by October 10

This category includes agencies that are expected to publish proposed procedures in the Federal Register by October 10, 1979.

ACTION
Agency for International Development
Department of Agriculture: Science and Education Administration
Department of Commerce: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
Department of Defense: Department of the Army; Department of the Navy
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare: Food and Drug Administration
Department of Housing and Urban Development
Department of Labor
Department of State
Federal Emergency Management Agency
International Boundary and Water Commission [U.S. Section]
Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Category #4: Publication of Proposed Procedures Delayed Beyond October 10, 1979

This category includes agencies that are *not* expected to publish proposed procedures in the Federal Register by October 10, 1979.

Appalachian Regional Commission
Arms Control and Disarmament Agency
Community Services Administration
Consumer Product Safety Commission
Department of Agriculture: Farmers Home Administration
Department of Commerce: Economic Development Administration
Department of the Interior: Bureau of Indian Affairs; Bureau of Land Management; Bureau of Mines; Fish and Wildlife Service; Geological Survey; National

Park Service; Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Control
Department of Justice: Law Enforcement Assistance Administration
Department of Transportation: Federal Highway Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; Urban Mass Transportation Administration; Saint Lawrence Seaway Corporation
Farm Credit Administration
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
Federal Home Loan Bank Board
Federal Reserve System
Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation
Interstate Commerce Commission
METRO
National Credit Union Administration
Securities and Exchange Commission

The development of agency implementing procedures is a critical stage in Federal efforts to reform the NEPA process. These procedures must, of course, be consistent with the Council's regulations and provide the means for reducing paperwork and delay and producing better decisions in agency planning and decisionmaking.

Interested persons will have the opportunity to make their suggestions for improving agency procedures when they are published in the Federal Register in proposed form. Broad public participation at this crucial juncture could go a long way toward ensuring that the goals of the NEPA regulations are widely implemented in the day-to-day activities of government.

Nicholas C. Yost,

General Counsel.

September 20, 1979.

[FR Doc. 79-29706 Filed 9-25-79; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3125-01-M

Progress Report on Agency Procedures Implementing Executive Order 12114, "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions" (January 4, 1979)

September 21, 1979.

AGENCY: Council on Environmental Quality, Executive Office of the President.

ACTION: Information Only: Publication of First Progress Report on Agency Procedures Implementing Executive Order 12114, "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions."

SUMMARY: On January 4, 1979, President Carter issued Executive Order 12114 entitled "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions." Executive Order 12114 requires all federal agencies taking major federal action outside the U.S. which are encompassed and not exempted from the Order, to have in effect procedures implementing the Order within 8 months after January 4, 1979 (i.e., by September 4, 1979). The Order requires agencies to consult with the Council on Environmental Quality and the Department of State before putting their implementing procedures in effect. The Council has previously published certain explanatory documents concerning implementation of EO 12114 (44 FR 18722, March 29, 1979). The purpose of this progress report is to provide an update on where affected agencies stand in this process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nicholas C. Yost, General Counsel, Council on Environmental Quality, 722 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006; (202) 395-5750.

Progress Report on Agency Procedures Implementing EO 12114

The progress report lists federal agencies in two categories. In Category 1 are agencies that have published proposed or final procedures implementing Executive Order 12114. Category 2 lists agencies that have prepared draft procedures or are in the process of developing such procedures, and contains an estimated time such procedures will be published in the Federal Register.

Category 1—Federal Agencies That Have Published Proposed or Final Procedures Implementing EO 12114

Department of Defense—Final Procedures issued April 12, 1979 (44 FR 21786)
Export-Import Bank of the United States—Final* Procedures issued August 30, 1979 (44 FR 50813)
Overseas Private Investment Corporation—Final* Procedures issued August 31, 1979 (44 FR 51385)
Department of Energy—Proposed Guidelines issued September 6, 1979 (44 FR 52146)
Department of Transportation—See proposed NEPA procedures (DOT Order 5610.1C) issued May 31, 1979 (44 FR 31341), Paragraph 16
National Aeronautics and Space Administration—See Final NEPA procedures § 1216.321 issued July 30, 1979 (44 FR 44490-44491)

Category 2—Federal Agencies Scheduled To Publish Procedures Implementing EO 12114 in the Near Future

Department of State—
(1) Draft procedures implementing EO 12114 (except nuclear actions) awaiting final approval
(2) Draft "Unified Procedures Applicable To Major Federal Actions Relating To Nuclear Activities Subject To Executive Order 12114" awaiting final approval
Agency for International Development—Proposed Amendments to AID Environmental Procedures awaiting final approval
Department of Commerce—Draft Proposed Procedures awaiting final approval
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—Draft NEPA Procedures (incorporating procedures implementing EO 12114)
Environmental Protection Agency—Draft Procedures implementing EO 12114 (to be incorporated as Subpart J to EPA NEPA regulations) awaiting final approval
Department of Agriculture—Amendments (containing procedures implementing EO 12114) to departmental NEPA procedures are under preparation. These amendments are expected to be published in final in the near future.
Department of Treasury—Draft Procedures implementing EO 12114 are under preparation. These procedures are expected to be published in the near future.
Department of Interior—Draft Procedures implementing EO 12114 are under preparation. These procedures are expected to be published in the near future.

Nicholas C. Yost,

General Counsel.

September 21, 1979.

[FR Doc. 79-29690 Filed 9-25-79; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3125-01-M

*Although not published in proposed form for public review and comment, the preamble provides an opportunity for public comment on final procedures.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)

AGENCY: U.S Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS)

SUMMARY: Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for a permit application for a proposed dredge and fill operation by the State of Alabama, Department of Mental Health, for the construction of a barge canal and appurtenances for unloading facilities on the Black Warrior River, River Mile 342.73, Tuscaloosa County, Alabama.

1. *Description of Proposed Action:* The applicant proposes to perform mechanical excavation and hydraulic dredging for the purpose of constructing a barge channel of a proposed industrial complex located on Warrior River, River Mile 342.7, near the City of Tuscaloosa, Alabama. The proposed barge canal will be approximately 300 feet wide by 3,600 feet long to a depth of −10 feet below ordinary high water which also includes a turning basin 600 feet by 600 feet square at the northern section of the canal. Approximately four million cubic yards of sandy-clayey material will be removed from the proposed barge

EISs FILED DURING THE MONTH O

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STA

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TI
		FINAL	ALEXANDRIA WASTEWATER MA
		FINAL	GREAT LAKES-ST. LAWRENCE
ALABAMA	MOBILE	FINAL	PIPELINE, WASTEWATER OUTF
ALASKA		DRAFT	GULF OF ALASKA OCS OIL AN
		DRAFT	SETTLERS BAY VILLAGE, WAS
ARIZONA	COCONINO	FINAL	VERMILLION RESOURCE AREA
	MOHAVE	DRAFT	CRUSSMAN PEAK RADAR INSTA
		FINAL	VERMILLION RESOURCE AREA
ARKANSAS	PULASKI	FINAL	NORTH LITTLE ROCK RIVERSI
ATLANTIC OCEAN		SUPPLE	ATLANTIC SQUID FMP, AMEND
		SUPPLE	ATLANTIC MAKEREL FISHERY FMP,
		SUPPLE	ATLANTIC HERRING FMP, AMENDMEN
CALIFORNIA	ALAMEDA	DRAFT	CITY OF OAKLAND CONVENTIO
	DEL NORTE	DRAFT	REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK, GE
	FRESNO	FINAL	RIVERVIEW ESTATES, FRESNO
	HUMBOLDT	DRAFT	REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK, GE
	LOS ANGELES	SUPPLE	CA-118, SIMI VALLEY-SAN F
COLORADO		FINAL	THREE CORNERS AREA GRAZIN
	DAGGETT	FINAL	THREE CORNERS AREA GRAZIN
	GARFIELD	FINAL	GRAND JUNCTION RESOURCE A
	GUNNISON	FINAL	EAST RIVER LAND MGMT., GU
	MESA	FINAL	GRAND JUNCTION RESOURCE A
	MONTROSE	FINAL	GRAND JUNCTION RESOURCE A
	PUEBLO	DRAFT	FOUNTAIN CREEK FLOOD CONT
FLORIDA	COLLIER	DRAFT	MARCO ISLAND/VICINITY WET
	LAKE	FINAL	LAKE APOPKA RESTORATION

TH OF SEPTEMBER 1979

STATE AND COUNTY

T TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
MASTER PLAN, EYGPT	90961	09-12-79	STAT
NCE SEAWAY SEASON EXTENSION	90973	09-14-79	COE
OUTFALL, MOBILE BAY, PERMIT	90937	09-04-79	COE
L AND GAS LEASE SALE #55	90944	09-06-79	DOI
WASILLA	90991	09-20-79	HUD
REA LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM	91011	09-26-79	DOI
NSTALLATION	91002	09-24-79	DOI
REA LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM	91011	09-26-79	DOI
ERSIDE EXPRESSWAY	90972	09-14-79	DOT
MENDMENT #1 (DS-1)	90970	09-14-79	DOC
MP, AMENDMENT 1(DS-1)	90969	09-14-79	DOC
DMENT (FS-1)	91022	09-27-79	DOC
NTION CENTER/HOTEL (UDAG)	90940	09-05-79	HUD
, GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	91013	09-25-79	DOI
ESNO	90965	09-13-79	HUD
, GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN	91013	09-25-79	DOI
AN FERNANDO VALLEY FREEWAY	90981	09-17-79	DOT
AZING MANAGEMENT	90988	09-19-79	DOI
AZING MANAGEMENT	90988	09-19-79	DOI
CE AREA, GRAZING MANAGEMENT	91000	09-21-79	DOI
, GUNNISON NF	90986	09-18-79	USDA
CE AREA, GRAZING MANAGEMENT	91000	09-21-79	DOI
CE AREA, GRAZING MANAGEMENT	91000	09-21-79	DOI
CONTROL, PUEBLO	90978	09-17-79	COE
WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT, PERMIT	90980	09-17-79	COE
ON PROJECT, GRANT	90993	09-20-79	EPA

EISs FILED DURING THE MONTH OF SEP

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY ST

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT T
FLORIDA	MANATEE	FINAL	MANATEE HARBOR, CHANNEL
	ORANGE	FINAL	LAKE APOPKA RESTORATION
GEORGIA	CHARLIE BROWN	DRAFT	FORT MCPERSON AND SUBINS
	CHEROKEE	FINAL	I-575 CONSTRUCTION, CANT
	CLAYTON	FINAL	I-675 CONSTRUCTION, I-75
		FINAL	I-75 IMPROVEMENT, CLEVEL
	DEKALB	FINAL	I-675 CONSTRUCTION, I-75
	FULTON	FINAL	I-75 IMPROVEMENT, CLEVEL
	HENRY	FINAL	I-675 CONSTRUCTION, I-75
	PICKENS	FINAL	I-575 CONSTRUCTION, CANT
GULF OF MEXICO		DRAFT	1980 OCS NOS. 62A AND 62
IDAHO	ADA	FINAL	LAKEWOOD PLANNED COMMUNI
	KOOTENAI	FINAL	COEUR D'ALENE-EAST/I-90
	SEVERAL		SUPPLESOUTHWEST OREGON AREA SERVICE,
	SEVERAL	FINAL	LITTLE LOST-BIRCH CREEK RANGE
ILLINOIS	COOK	SUPPLEU	HARE WATER RECLAMATION
	LA SALLE	DRAFT	REHABILITATION OF WASTEW
	LIVINGSTON	DRAFT	REHABILITATION OF WASTEW
INDIANA	ALLEN	FINAL	HOBSON ROAD-ST. JOE ROAD
	BARTHOLOMEW	DRAFT	TENTH STREET/TAYLOR ROAD
	MARION	DRAFT	FORT BEN HARRISON ONGOIN
IOWA	HAMILTON	SUPPLEIA	-520 CONSTRUCTION, IA-
	WEBSTER	SUPPLEIA	-520 CONSTRUCTION, IA-
LOUISIANA		DRAFT	LOUISIANA COASTAL RESOUR
MARYLAND	ANNE ARUNDEL	DRAFT	PATUXENT WASTEWATER TREA
	BALTIMORE	FINAL	VA REPLACEMENT MEDICAL C

F SEPTEMBER 1979

Y STATE AND COUNTY

NT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
NEL MAINTENANCE	90982	09-17-79	COE
ION PROJECT, GRANT	90993	09-20-79	EPA
BINSTALLATIONS, CONTINUATION	91027	09-28-79	USA
CANTON TO NELSON	90983	09-17-79	DOT
I-75 TO I-285	90984	09-17-79	DOT
EVELAND & CENTRAL AVENUES	91019	09-27-79	DOT
I-75 TO I-285	90984	09-17-79	DOT
EVELAND & CENTRAL AVENUES	91019	09-27-79	DOT
I-75 TO I-285	90984	09-17-79	DOT
CANTON TO NELSON	90983	09-17-79	DOT
D 62, GULF OF MEXICO	91029	09-28-79	DOI
MUNITY, BOISE	90959	09-12-79	HUD
-90 CONSTRUCTION	90950	09-10-79	DOT
VICE, FACILITY PLAN FY79	91004	09-24-79	DOI
ANGE MANAGEMENT	90942	09-06-79	DOI
TION PLANT, DES PLAINES	90996	09-21-79	EPA
STEWATER FACILITIES, STREATOR	90947	09-07-79	EPA
STEWATER FACILITIES, STREATOR	90947	09-07-79	EPA
ROAD, IMPROVEMENT	90938	09-04-79	DOT
ROAD EXTENSION, COLUMBUS	91016	09-27-79	DOT
GOING MISSION	90968	09-14-79	USA
IA-17 TO US 20	90958	09-12-79	DOT
IA-17 TO US 20	90958	09-12-79	DOT
SOURCES PROGRAM, CZM	90995	09-21-79	DOC
TREATMENT FACILITIES	90992	09-20-79	EPA
AL CENTER, BALTIMORE	90974	09-14-79	VA

EISs FILED DURING THE MONTH OF SEPTEMBER 1

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE A

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE
NEW YORK		FINAL	GATEWAY NATIONAL RECREATION A
	NIAGARA	FINAL	ULCOTT SMALL BOAT HARBOR, NA
	ONONDAGA	FINAL	NY-31 IMPROVEMENT
NORTH CAROLINA	DARE	SUPPLE	MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY PROJ
	DUPLIN	DRAFT	LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERS
NORTH DAKOTA	BURKE	FINAL	NORTH DAKOTA-SASKATCHEWAN IN
	MOUNTRAIL	FINAL	NORTH DAKOTA-SASKATCHEWAN IN
	WARD	FINAL	NORTH DAKOTA-SASKATCHEWAN IN
OHIO	BUTLER	DRAFT	HAMILTON EAST MULTIPURPOSE P
	SUMMIT	DRAFT	UH-8 RELOCATION, HUDSON DRIV
OKLAHOMA	DELAWARE	DRAFT	I-33 IMPROVEMENTS, US-69 TO
	MAYES	DRAFT	I-33 IMPROVEMENTS, US-69 TO
	SEQUOYAH	FINAL	PAW-PAW BOTTOMS RC&D MEASURE
OREGON		DRAFT	UMATILLA NF, TIMBER RESOURCE
	HARNEY	FINAL	DREWSEY GRAZING MANAGEMENT P
	SEVERAL	SUPPLE	SOUTHWEST OREGON AREA SERVICE, FAC
PENNSYLVANIA	BUCKS	DRAFT	MORSHAM-WARMINSTER-WARRINGTO
	MONTGOMERY	DRAFT	MORSHAM-WARMINSTER-WARRINGTO
REGULATORY		FINAL	NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PLANN
		FINAL	TRUCK-MOUNTED SOLID WASTE CO
RHODE ISLAND	PROVIDENCE	FINAL	FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING, PRO
SOUTH CAROLINA	BERKELEY	DRAFT	FAIRFAX SUBDIVISION, CHARLE

TE AND COUNTY

TLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
ON AREA, MASTER PLAN	91008	09-25-79	DOI
NAVIGATION FACILITIES	91010	09-25-79	COE
	91007	09-24-79	DOT
PROJECT (D8-1)	91015	09-26-79	COE
TERSHED PROTECTION	90956	09-11-79	USDA
INTERTIE, TRANSMISSION	91005	09-24-79	USDA
INTERTIE, TRANSMISSION	91005	09-24-79	USDA
INTERTIE, TRANSMISSION	91005	09-24-79	USDA
BE PROJECT, UDAG	90962	09-12-79	HUD
DRIVE TO OH-303	90957	09-11-79	DOT
TO OK-33	90964	09-13-79	DOT
TO OK-33	90964	09-13-79	DOT
SURE PLAN	91024	09-27-79	USDA
URCE PLAN	90955	09-11-79	USDA
NT PROGRAM	90989	09-19-79	DOI
FACILITY PLAN FY79	91004	09-24-79	DOI
NGTON WWT GRANTS	90998	09-21-79	EPA
NGTON WWT GRANTS	90998	09-21-79	EPA
LANNING, REGULATIONS	90985	09-18-79	USDA
E COMPACTORS, NOISE	91030	09-27-79	EPA
PROVIDENCE	91006	09-24-79	GSA
ARLESTON	90936	09-04-79	HUD

EISs FILED DURING THE MONTH OF SEPTEMB

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY ST

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT T
SOUTH CAROLINA	CHARLESTON	SUPPLE	CHARLESTON CENTER, UDAG
TENNESSEE	WILLIAMSON	DRAFT	FRANKLIN BY-PASS, TN-6 T
TEXAS	BEXAR	DRAFT	I-10 AND I-35 IMPROVEMEN
	DENTON	SUPPLE	FLOWER MOUND NEW TOWN, T
	FORT BEND	FINAL	SUGARMILL SUBDIVISION
	GALVESTON	FINAL	DEEPWATER PORT AND CRUDE
UTAH		FINAL	THREE CORNERS AREA GRAZI
	RICH	FINAL	RANDOLPH PLANNING UNIT G
	WAYNE	FINAL	PARKER MTN. PLANNING UNI
US TERRITORY		DRAFT	ROTA HARBOR NAVIGATION I
VIRGINIA		FINAL	BERKLEY AVENUE IMPROVEME
	CHESAPEAKE	DRAFT	WHARF CONSTRUCTION, ACCE
	YORK	DRAFT	FORT MONROE ONGOING MISS
WASHINGTON		DRAFT	UMATILLA NF, TIMBER RESO
	CLARK	DRAFT	CLARK COUNTY AREAWIDE AP
		FINAL	VANCOUVER VA MEDICAL CEN
	PIERCE	FINAL	WA-509/WA-705, PACIFIC A
	SNOHOMISH	DRAFT	132ND STREET SE IMPROVEM
	STEVENS	DRAFT	SUNCREST FARMS NORTHWEST
	KING	SUPPLE	SEATTLE HARBOR NAVIGATION, OPE
WEST VIRGINIA	WOOD	FINAL	POND RUN WATERSHED
WISCONSIN	DANE	FINAL	CAPITOL CENTRE REDEVELOP

PTEMBER 1979

Y STATE AND COUNTY

NT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
DAG (FS-1)	90976	09-14-79	HUD
-6 TO TN-106, FRANKLIN	90999	09-21-79	DOT
EMENT, SAN ANTONIO	90963	09-13-79	DOT
N, TERMINATION	90997	09-21-79	HUD
N	90960	09-12-79	HUD
RUDE OIL SYSTEM, PERMIT	91020	09-27-79	COE
RAZING MANAGEMENT	90988	09-19-79	DOI
T GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN	91025	09-27-79	DOI
UNIT GRAZING MANAGEMENT	91026	09-28-79	DOI
ON IMPROVEMENTS	90979	09-17-79	COE
VEMENT, NORFOLK	90943	09-06-79	DOT
ACCESS DREDGING AND DISPOSAL	91014	09-26-79	USN
MISSION	90967	09-14-79	USA
RESOURCE PLAN	90955	09-11-79	USDA
APPROACH EIS	90994	09-21-79	HUD
CENTER	90949	09-07-79	VA
IC AVE. TO PORT OF TACOMA RD.	90951	09-10-79	DOT
OVEMENT AND EXTENSION	90941	09-05-79	DOT
NEST	90935	09-04-79	HUD
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	90990	09-01-79	COE
	90953	09-10-79	USDA
ELOPMENT, MADISON	91018	09-27-79	HUD

EISs FILED DURING THE MONTH OF

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMEN
WYOMING	ALBANY	DRAFT	UPPER NORTH LARAMIE R
	TETON	DRAFT	BOEING 737 SERVICE TO

H OF SEPTEMBER 1979

BY STATE AND COUNTY

EMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
IE RIVER WATERSHED	90977	09-17-79	USDA
E TO JACKSON, AMENDMENT	90948	09-07-79	DOT

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
SEPTEMBER 1979

102 STATEMENT SUMMARY

AGENCY	DRAFT 102'S (NO FINAL)	FINAL 102'S	SU
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE	4	4	
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE	1	0	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY	3	0	
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, NAVY	1	0	
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	0	1	
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	7	4	
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR	4	13	
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION	9	10	
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	3	3	
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	0	1	
STATE DEPARTMENT	0	0	
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS	3	5	
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION	0	2	
TOTALS	35	43	

SUPPLEMENTS	TOTAL
0	9
3	2
0	5
0	1
1	2
2	13
	17
3	22
1	7
0	1
0	0
2	10
0	2
12	89

U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

CONTACT: MR. RICHARD MAKINEN
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY
ATTN: DAEN-CWR-P
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF ENGINEERS
U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
20 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20314
(202) 272-0121

DRAFT DATE

FOUNTAIN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL, PUEBLO 09/17

COLORADO
COUNTY: PUEBLO
PROPOSED IS THE FOUNTAIN CREEK FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT LOCATED IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF PUEBLO, COLORADO. THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE IS A COMBINATION OF A 140-YEAR CHANNEL-LEVEE, FLOOD PROOFING, FLOOD PLAIN REGULATION AND ZONING. TEN STRUCTURAL AND SIX NONSTRUCTURAL ALTERNATIVES WERE CONSIDERED.
(ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 90978)

MARCO ISLAND/VICINITY WETLANDS DEVELOPMENT, PERMIT 09/17

FLORIDA
COUNTY: COLLIER
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR THE FILLING OF APPROXIMATELY 3,984 ACRES OF WETLAND FOR THE USE OF RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON AND NEAR MARCO ISLAND LOCATED IN COLLIER COUNTY, FLORIDA. THE PROJECT INVOLVES EIGHT SEPARATE PERMIT SUBACRES TOTALING APPROXIMATELY 5,511 ACRES OF UPLANDS AND WETLANDS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF: 1) SINGLE AND MULTI-FAMILY UNITS, 2) A LAKE SYSTEM WITH NAVIGATION ACCESS, 3) A GOLF COURSE, 4) AN AIRPORT, 5) SCHOOL FACILITIES, 6) TWO MARINAS, AND 7) DRY AND/OR WET STORAGE SPACES IN TWO SUBAREAS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER CONFINING THE DEVELOPMENT TO UPLAND LOCATIONS AND REDUCING THE SIZE OF THE WETLANDS DEVELOPMENTS. (JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 90980)

ROTA HARBOR NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS 09/17

US TERRITORY
PROPOSED ARE NAVIGATION IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE WEST HARBOR ON THE ISLAND OF ROTA OF THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS. THREE ALTERNATIVE PLANS WERE DEVELOPED. PLAN 1 CONSISTS OF AN ENTRANCE CHANNEL 800 FEET LONG, 300 FEET WIDE AND 20 FEET DEEP. PLAN 2 INCLUDES, IN ADDITION TO THE CHANNEL IN PLAN 1, AN EXTENSION OF THE HARBOR BASIN TO PROVIDE A PROTECTED BERTHING AREA. PLAN 3 CONTAINS ALL THE ELEMENTS OF 1 AND 2 WITH THE ADDITION OF A CAUSEWAY TO ANJOTA ISLAND 500 FEET

IN LENGTH AND AN EXISTING REVETTED FILL AREA SEAWARD OF THE HARBOR BASIN.
(EIS ORDER # 90979)

FINAL PIPELINE, WASTEWATER OUTFALL, MOBILE BAY, PERMIT 09/04

ALABAMA
COUNTY: MOBILE
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR THE INSTALLATION AND O/M OF A 30-INCH DIAMETER PIPELINE TO CARRY WASTE FROM THE THEODORE INDUSTRIAL PARK NEAR THEODORE, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA, TO A SITE IN WEST-CENTRAL MOBILE BAY. THE LANDWARD PORTION OF THE PIPELINE WOULD PASS BENEATH THREE SMALL TRIBUTARIES OF THE DEER RIVER AND WOULD BE BURIED SIX FEET DEEP IN THE BOTTOM NEAR SHORE AND THREE FEET DEEP FOR THE REST OF THE DISTANCE. PLACEMENT OF THE PIPELINE IN THE BAY WOULD BE BY JETTING OR EXCAVATION AND BACKFILL.
(MOBILE DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOE DOC EPA HEW HUD DOI DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 90937)

MANATEE HARBOR, CHANNEL MAINTENANCE 09/17

FLORIDA
COUNTY: MANATEE
PROPOSED IS THE MAINTENANCE OF MANATEE HARBOR AND CHANNEL, THE CONSTRUCTION OF A WIDENED TURNING AREA AT THE INTERSECTION OF THE CHANNEL WITH THE MAIN TAMPA HARBOR CHANNEL, AND CONSTRUCTION OF A TURNING BASIN ADJACENT TO THE PORT MANATEE BERTHING AREA. PART OF AN EXISTING 60 ACRE ISLAND WILL BE MODIFIED TO FORM ABOUT 7 ACRES AT ABOUT 2 FEET MEAN LOW WATER TO MITIGATE THE LOSS OF AN EQUAL AREA OF SEAGRASSED BAY BOTTOM CONSEQUENT TO TURNING BASIN CONSTRUCTION. THE PROJECT WILL INVOLVE 164 ACRES FOR MATERIAL FILL AREA AND IS ENTIRELY LOCATED IN MANATEE COUNTY, FLORIDA.
(JACKSONVILLE DISTRICT)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA DOC
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90982)

LES CHENEAUX ISLANDS, MAINTENANCE DREDGING 09/10

MICHIGAN
THE PROPOSED ACTION IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A CONFINED DISPOSAL FACILITY FOR CONTAMINATED DREDGED MATERIALS, AND MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE LES CHENEAUX ISLAND CHANNELS. THE DISPOSAL FACILITY WOULD BE LOCATED INLAND, APPROXIMATELY TWO MILES BY ROAD FROM THE NEW VILLAGE OF CEDARVILLE MARINA. THE CHANNELS TO BE MAINTAINED ARE APPROXIMATELY 40,000 FEET IN LENGTH AND HAVE PREVIOUSLY BEEN DEEPENED TO 7 FEET AND WIDENED TO 100 FEET WITH ADDITIONAL ENLARGEMENT WHERE REQUIRED. THIS PROJECT IS LOCATED IN THE STATE OF MICHIGAN.
(DETROIT DISTRICT)

COMMENTS MADE BY: FERC USDA DOC DOI DOT EPA
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90952)

OLCOTT SMALL BOAT HARBOR, NAVIGATION FACILITIES 09/25

NEW YORK
COUNTY: NIAGARA
PROPOSED IS A SMALL BOAT HARBOR PLAN FOR THE OLCOTT HARBOR LOCATED IN NIAGARA COUNTY, NEW YORK. THE PLAN WOULD PROVIDE TWO BREAKWATERS, ONE TO THE WEST WHICH WOULD PROTECT THE EXISTING CHANNEL TO EIGHTEENMILE CREEK AND THE OTHER ON THE EAST WHICH WOULD FORM A LARGE MOORING BASIN AND PROVIDE SPORTFISHING. ADDITIONAL MOORING SPACES WOULD BE SUPPLIED BY THE WEST BREAKWATER. SEVERAL NEW CHANNELS WILL BE INCORPORATED WITH LIMITED DREDGING WHICH WOULD BE DISPOSED OF AT AN UPLAND SITE. RECREATIONAL FACILITIES WILL BE INCLUDED.
(BUFFALO DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP HEW DOC DOI HUD DOT EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 91010)

DEEPWATER PORT AND CRUDE OIL SYSTEM, PERMIT 09/27

TEXAS
COUNTY: GALVESTON
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT FOR AN ONSHORE DEEPWATER PORT PROJECT WHICH WOULD INVOLVE THE DEEPENING OF THE EXISTING SHIP CHANNEL INTO GALVESTON, GALVESTON COUNTY, TEXAS AND EXTENDING THE CHANNEL FURTHER INTO THE GULF OF MEXICO. ALSO PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A CRUDE OIL PIPELINE DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM, ORIGINATING AT PELICAN ISLAND, AND AN OIL STORAGE TANK FARM. SEVERAL DISPOSAL SITES WILL BE USED, DEPENDENT UPON THE TYPE OF DREDGED MATERIAL.
(GALVESTON DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC AHP USDA HUD DOT

STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91020)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT — DATE

MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY PROJECT (DS-1) — 09/26

NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: DARE
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #90384, FILED 4-11-79 CONCERNING THE MANTEO (SHALLOWBAG) BAY PROJECT LOCATED IN DARE COUNTY, FLORIDA. THIS SUPPLEMENT DISCUSSES: 1) DREDGED MATERIAL BY CONTROLLED EFFLUENT RATHER THAN DIKED UPLAND DISPOSAL, 2) ELIMINATION OF THE JETTY DOORS, AND 3) DREDGING THE OREGON INLET OCEAN BAR CHANNEL BY HYDRAULIC PIPELINE DREDGE DURING PROJECT CONSTRUCTION. OTHER CHANGES, OMISSIONS OF THE FINAL EIS AND ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO THE FINAL EIS ARE PRESENTED.
(WILMINGTON DISTRICT)
(EIS ORDER # 91015)

FINAL SUPPLEMENT — DATE

SEATTLE HARBOR NAVIGATION, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE — 09/01

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: KING
THIS PROPOSAL SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS FILED WITH CEQ IN AUGUST, 1975. THE ACTION IS THE CONTINUED MAINTENANCE DREDGING OF THE SEATTLE HARBOR NAVIGATION PROJECT LOCATED IN KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON. PROJECT PLANS CALL FOR THE CLAMSHELL DREDGING OF THE UPSTREAM REACHES FROM STATION 235+00 TO THE "HEAD OF NAVIGATION" ON AN ANNUAL BASIS. THE AMOUNT OF MATERIAL DREDGED UPSTREAM WILL AVERAGE APPROXIMATELY 135,000 CY PER YEAR. DREDGING DOWNSTREAM OF STATION 235+00 IS ANTICIPATED EVERY 4 TO 7 YEARS, REQUIRING THE REMOVAL OF 60,000 TO 3000,000 CY OF SEDIMENTS.
(SEATTLE DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER #90990)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

CONTACT: DR. SIDNEY R. GALLER
DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20230
(202) 377-4335

NAT'L OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMIN.

DRAFT — DATE

LOUISIANA COASTAL RESOURCES PROGRAM, CZM — 09/21

LOUISIANA
PROPOSED IS THE LOUISIANA STATE COASTAL RESOURCES PROGRAM. THE PROGRAM PROVIDES FOR: 1) APPLICATION OF A NEW SET OF COMPREHENSIVE STATE COASTAL POLICIES, 2) IMPLEMENTATION OF A NEW COORDINATED PERMIT SYSTEM, 3) PROCEDURES TO INSURE DEEP WATER PORT AND GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES ARE CONSISTENT WITH THE GUIDELINES, 4) MANAGEMENT OF UNIQUE COASTAL AREAS, 5) PROCEDURES TO ASSURE THAT FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ACTIVITIES ARE CONSISTENT WITH PROGRAM POLICIES, 6) CONSIDERATION OF NATIONAL INTERESTS, AND 7) OTHER FEATURES.
(EIS ORDER # 90995)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT — DATE

ATLANTIC SQUID FMP, AMENDMENT #1 (DS-1) — 09/14

ATLANTIC OCEAN
PROPOSED IS AMENDMENT #1 TO THE ATLANTIC SQUID FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN. THE AMENDMENT WOULD EXTEND THE FMP BEYOND THE END OF FISHING YEAR 1979-1980 AND INCORPORATE NECESSARY CHANGES TO QUOTAS AND OTHER PROVISIONS. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE: 1) NO ACTION, 2) CONTINUE THE FMP FOR FISHING YEAR 1980, 3) CONTINUE THE FMP WITHOUT TIME LIMITS WITH NO OTHER CHANGES, 4) PROVIDE A RESERVE FOR ILLEX AND LOLIGO, 5) INCREASE OPTIMUM YIELDS, 6) REDUCE OPTIMUM YIELDS, 7) COMBINE THE SQUID AND BUTTERFISH FMPS, AND 8) COMBINE OBJECTIVES 5 AND 7 INTO A NEW OBJECTIVE 8 AND DESIGNATE THE CURRENT OBJECTIVE 8 AS OBJECTIVE 7.
(EIS ORDER # 90970)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT — DATE

ATLANTIC MAKEREL FISHERY FMP, AMENDMENT 1 (DS-1) — 09/14

ATLANTIC
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #80572, FILED 5-26-78 CONCERNING THE ATLANTIC MACKEREL FISHERY MANAGEMENT PLAN. PROPOSED IS AMENDMENT # 1 TO THE FMP WHICH WOULD EXTEND THE FMP BEYOND THE END OF FISHING YEAR 1979-1980 (MARCH 31, 1979) AND INCORPORATE NECESSARY CHANGES TO QUOTAS AND OTHER PROVISIONS: THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) NO ACTION, 2) CONTINUE THE CURRENT FMP THROUGH FISHING YEAR 1980, 3) CONTINUE THE FMP WITHOUT TIME LIMIT 4) CONTINUE THE FMP WITHOUT CHANGES TO 04 AND QUOTAS, AND 5) REVISE OBJECTIVE 4.
(EIS ORDER #90969)

FINAL SUPPLEMENT — DATE

ATLANTIC HERRING FMP, AMENDMENT (FS-1) — 09/27

ATLANTIC OCEAN REGULATORY
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS FINAL EIS, #81013, FILED 9-19-78 ON THE ATLANTIC HERRING FMP. PROPOSED IS AN AMENDMENT TO: 1) REDEFINE THE MANAGEMENT UNIT TO INCLUDE ALL HERRING FISHERIES, 2) ESTABLISH NEW OPTIMUM YIELDS FOR THE GULF OF MAINE AND GEORGES BANK AND SOUTH AREAS INCLUDING AN ALLOCATION OF 2,000 MT TO CANADA FROM GEORGES BANK, 3) MAKE SUBALLOCATIONS OF THE GULF OF MAINE OPTIMUM YIELD TO REFLECT SEASONAL ACTIVITY OF HISTORIC FISHERIES, 4) ESTABLISH NEW AREA/PERIOD ALLOCATIONS OF HARVESTS OF ALL HERRING THREE YEARS AND OLDER, AND 5) PROVIDE A DEFINITION OF INDUSTRY GUIDANCE OF HERRING AGE THREE YEARS AND OLDER.
COMMENTS MADE BY: BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER #91022)

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

CONTACT: DR. ROBERT STERN
ACTING DIRECTOR
NEPA AFFAIRS DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
MAIL STATION 4G-064, FORRESTAL BLDG.
WASHINGTON, DC 20585
(202) 252-4600

FINAL — DATE

COAL CONVERSION PROGRAM, BRAYTON POINT — 09/27

MASSACHUSETTS
COUNTY: BRISTOL
PROPOSED IS A NOTICE OF EFFECTIVENESS TO PROHIBIT BURNING OF GAS OR OIL AS THE PRIMARY SOURCE OF FUEL AT NEW ENGLAND POWER COMPANY'S BRAYTON POINT GENERATING STATION, SOMERSET, BRISTOL COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS, FOR UNITS 1, 2, AND 3. THE NOTICE OF EFFECTIVENESS WOULD MAKE EFFECTIVE THE JUNE 30, 1977 ENERGY SUPPLY AND ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION ACT PROHIBITION ORDER ISSUED BY FEA. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) FUEL MIX, 2) ALTERNATE FUELS, 3) EARLY RETIREMENT, 4) NO ACTION.
(DOE/EIS-0036-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOE HEW HUD DOI STAT DOT TREA EPA NSF
STATE AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91025)

BONNEVILLE POWER ADMINISTRATION

FINAL SUPPLEMENT — DATE

SOUTHWEST OREGON AREA SERVICE, FACILITY PLAN FY79 — 09/24

OREGON IDAHO
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE FACILITY PLANNING SUPPLEMENT TO THE FY79 PROGRAM FOR THE SOUTHWEST OREGON SERVICE AREA TO ALLOW POWER GENERATED IN WYOMING TO BE DELIVERED AND TO FACILITATE THE EXCHANGE OF ELECTRIC POWER BETWEEN THE PACIFIC NORTHWEST AND THE MIDDLE SNAKE REGION. CONSTRUCTION OF TWO TRANSMISSION FACILITIES PROPOSED INCLUDES: 1) 500 KV LINE FROM BROWNLEE SUBSTATION IN IDAHO TO SLATT SUBSTATION NEAR ARLINGTON, OREGON AND 2) 500 KV LINE FROM BUCKLEY TO MALIN, OREGON. THE NEW TRANSMISSION LINE WOULD PROVIDE BACKUP TO THE OVERALL SYSTEM.
(DOE-EIS-0005-FS-2)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT COE EPA USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91004)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

CONTACT: MR. BRUCE BLANCHARD
DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT REVIEW
ROOM 4256 INTERIOR BLDG.
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

DRAFT DATE

GULF OF ALASKA OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE #55 09/06

ALASKA
PROPOSED IS OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE #55 IN THE EASTERN GULF OF ALASKA. THREE HUNDRED AND FIFTY BLOCKS CONSISTING OF 1,952,242 ACRES ARE PROPOSED FOR LEASING. THE TRACTS EXTEND FROM SOUTH AND EAST OF YAKUTAT ALONG THE TERRITORIAL BOUNDARY AND GENERALLY SEAWARD FROM THE COAST TO THE 300 METER ISOBATH. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER NO SALE, DELAY OF THE SALE, AND THREE MODIFIED SALES.
(DES-79-53)
(EIS ORDER # 90944)

CROSSMAN PEAK RADAR INSTALLATION 09/24

ARIZONA
COUNTY: MOHAVE
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF AIR SURVEILLANCE RADAR FACILITIES EITHER ON CROSSMAN PEAK, NEAR LAKE HAVASU CITY IN MOHAVE COUNTY, ARIZONA OR AT TWO OTHER SITES IN ARIZONA: 1) ON CHERUM PEAK, MOHAVE COUNTY, OR 2) HARQUAHULA PEAK, YUMA COUNTY. THE FACILITIES WOULD PROVIDE LOW-ELEVATION AIR TRAFFIC COVERAGE IN THE LOWER COLORADO RIVER BASIN, PRESENTLY LACKING SUCH COVERAGE, AND HIGH-ELEVATION AIR TRAFFIC COVERAGE, WITHIN A 100-MILE RADIUS OF KINGMAN, ARIZONA. THE ROADS NEEDED TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO ANY OF THE SUMMITS WOULD IMPROVE ACCESS FOR RECREATION AND MINING.
(DES-79-54)
(EIS ORDER # 91002)

1980 OCS NOS. 62A AND 62, GULF OF MEXICO 09/28

GULF OF MEXICO
PROPOSED ARE TWO 1980 OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALES, NOS. 62A AND 62, IN THE GULF OF MEXICO. THE SALES WOULD INCLUDE 296 TRACTS TOTALING 1,517,787.37 ACRES. SALE 62A INCLUDES 222 TRACTS TOTALING 1,099,057.37 ACRES RANGING FROM 3 TO 104 NAUTICAL MILES FROM SHORE IN WATER FROM 12 TO 2,179 FEET DEEP. SALE 62 INCLUDES 74 TRACTS OFFSHORE THE WESTERN GULF OF MEXICO TOTALING 418,730 ACRES RANGING FROM 11 TO 99 NAUTICAL MILES OFFSHORE IN WATERS FROM 30 TO 1,460 FEET DEEP.
(EIS ORDER # 91029)

FINAL DATE

VERMILLION RESOURCE AREA LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM 09/26

ARIZONA
COUNTY: COCONINO MOHAVE
PROPOSED IS A LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM FOR THE VERMILLION RESOURCE AREA LOCATED IN THE COUNTIES OF COCONINO AND MOHAVE, ARIZONA. THE AREA CONSISTS OF 1,407,476 ACRES OF FEDERAL LANDS. THE PROGRAM INCLUDES: 1) INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF GRAZING ON 1,369,043 ACRES OF LAND, 2) LESS INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF GRAZING ON 38,433 ACRES, AND 3) BUILDING RANGE IMPROVEMENTS AND APPLYING LAND TREATMENTS TO FACILITATE GRAZING MANAGEMENT. FOUR ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
STATE AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91011)

THREE CORNERS AREA GRAZING MANAGEMENT 09/19

COLORADO UTAH
COUNTY: SWEETWATER UNITAH DAGGETT
PROPOSED IS A GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR 190,536 ACRES OF PUBLIC LANDS IN THE THREE CORNERS PLANNING UNIT LOCATED IN SWEETWATER COUNTY, COLORADO AND UNITAH AND DAGGETT COUNTIES, UTAH. THE PLAN INCLUDES THE FOLLOWING AUM ALLOCATIONS: 15,788 FOR CATTLE, 3,655 FOR SHEEP, 9,684 FOR DEER, 4,838 FOR ELK, AND 378 FOR ANTELOPE. THE PLAN ALSO PROPOSES TO: RESERVE ONE ALLOTMENT FOR BIG GAME USE, CONTINUE PRESENT ALLOTMENT-WIDE GRAZING ON 17 ALLOTMENTS, CONTINUE IMPROVED MANAGEMENT ON FOUR ALLOTMENTS WITH EXISTING AMPS, AND IMPLEMENT IMPROVED MANAGEMENT ON 17 ALLOTMENTS. OTHER DEVELOPMENTS INCLUDE: 30 MILES OF FENCING, 52 WATER DEVELOPMENTS, AND 1,620 ACRES OF SAGE BRUSH CONTROL. (FES-79-32)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW AHP DOI COE EPA USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90988)

GRAND JUNCTION RESOURCE AREA, GRAZING MANAGEMENT 09/21

COLORADO
COUNTY: MESA GARFIELD MONTROSE
PROPOSED IS A GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE GRAND JUNCTION RESOURCE AREA LOCATED IN MESA, GARFIELD AND MONTROSE COUNTIES, COLORADO. COMPONENTS OF THE PROGRAM ARE: 1) INTENSIVE GRAZING MANAGEMENT ON 1,105,760 ACRES, 2) LESS INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT ON 79,210 ACRES, 3) ELIMINATION OF GRAZING ON 15,887 ACRES, AND 4) COMPLETION OF VEGETATION MANIPULATION PROJECTS AND RANGE FACILITIES REQUIRED TO IMPLEMENT INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT. SIX ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.

(FES-79-19)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91000)

MISSOURI BREAKS GRAZING PROGRAM 09/05

MONTANA
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF AN IMPROVED MANAGEMENT PROGRAM IN THE MISSOURI BREAKS AREA OF MONTANA. THE PLAN INVOLVES NEARLY 2,200,000 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND ALONG WITH 6,300,000 ACRES OF STATE AND PRIVATE LAND. THE ACTIONS PROPOSED ARE: 1) CONTINUED OPERATION OF 42 EXISTING AMPS, 2) REVISION OF 10 EXISTING AMPS, 3) LESS INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT OF 251,000 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND, 4) UNALLOTTED STATUS ON 31,000 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND, 5) CONSTRUCTION OF VARIOUS RANGE IMPROVEMENTS, AND 6) AN OVERALL REDUCTION OF LIVESTOCK USE BY ONE PERCENT. THE COUNTIES INVOLVED INCLUDE: BLAINE, CHOUTEAU, FERGUS, GARFIELD, JUDITH BASIN, MCCONE, MUSSELSHELL, PETROLEUM, PHILLIPS, AND VALLEY. (FES-79-38)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI AHP COE EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 90939)

EAST SOCORRO GRAZING PROGRAM, SOCORRO 09/07

NEW MEXICO
COUNTY: SOCORRO VALENCIA
PROPOSED IS AN INTENSIVE LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM ON 838,808 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND IN SOCORRO AND VALENCIA COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO. THE PROGRAM WILL ENTAIL THE IMPLEMENTATION OF 79 ALLOTMENT MANAGEMENT PLANS (AMPS), ON 91 ALLOTMENTS ON 788,097 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND; SET LIVESTOCK NUMBERS ON 26 NON-AMP ALLOTMENTS ON 46,142 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND; AND MAINTAIN MANAGEMENT OF 4,569 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND IN NO GRAZING AREAS. THE PROGRAM WILL ALSO INCLUDE STRUCTURAL MEASURES SUCH AS WELLS, PIPELINES, AND FENCES.
(FES-79-40)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA DOI COE
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90946)

EAST ROSWELL GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 09/27

NEW MEXICO
COUNTY: CHAVES LEA EDDY
PROPOSED IS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE EAST ROSWELL AREA LOCATED IN CHAVES, LEA AND EDDY COUNTIES, NEW MEXICO. THE PLAN WOULD: EXCLUDE APPROXIMATELY 6,600 ACRES FROM LIVESTOCK GRAZING; ALLOCATE 168,111 AUMS OF FORAGE TO LIVESTOCK AND 2,893 AUMS TO BIG GAME ANIMALS; SET A MAXIMUM FORAGE UTILIZATION-LEVEL

OF 40 TO 60 PERCENT; TREAT APPROXIMATELY 54,300 ACRES WITH CHEMICALS TO CONTROL BRUSH; DEVELOP GRAZING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS; AND SPECIFY LIVESTOCK FACILITIES NECESSARY TO IMPLEMENT SYSTEMS.
(FES-79-49)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91021)

CALIENTE AREA DOMESTIC LIVESTOCK GRAZING MGMT 09/21

NEVADA
COUNTY: LINCOLN
PROPOSED IS A DOMESTIC LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE CALIENTE AREA IN LINCOLN COUNTY, NEVADA. THE PLAN RECOMMENDS: 1) INTENSIVE GRAZING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ON 27 PROPOSED AMP AREAS CONSISTING OF 65 ALLOTMENTS ENCOMPASSING 3,051,078 ACRES; 2) 12 NON-AMP ALLOTMENTS ENCOMPASSING 339,725 ACRES; AND 3) NO GRAZING ON NINE ALLOTMENTS ENCOMPASSING 105,002 ACRES. THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE ESTABLISHED, BY ALLOTMENT: 1) PERIOD-OF-USE FOR EACH CLASS OF LIVESTOCK, 2) GRAZING CAPACITY, 3) ALLOCATION OF SUFFICIENT FORAGE, 4) GRAZING TREATMENT, AND 5) NECESSARY RANGE IMPROVEMENTS.
(FES-79-44)

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91001)

DREWSEY GRAZING MANAGEMENT PROGRAM 09/19

OREGON
COUNTY: HARNEY
PROPOSED IS THE CONTINUANCE OF A LIVESTOCK GRAZING PROGRAM FOR 678,469 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND IN THE DREWSEY STUDY AREA, HARNEY COUNTY, OREGON. THE PROGRAM FEATURES IMPLEMENTING 68 AMPS ON 81 ALLOTMENTS COVERING 636,444 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND. LESS INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT IS PROPOSED FOR 43 ALLOTMENTS COVERING 18,553 ACRES; 1,680 ACRES WOULD CONTINUE AS A DRIVEWAY; AND 21,792 ACRES WOULD CONTINUE WITH NO LIVESTOCK GRAZING. THE PLAN WILL INCLUDE: ALLOCATION OF LIVESTOCK FORAGE TO LIVESTOCK, WILD HORSES, WILDLIFE, AND WATERSHED; ESTABLISHMENT OF GRAZING SYSTEMS; AND CONSTRUCTION OF RANGE IMPROVEMENTS.
(FES-79-42)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA DOE AHP
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90989)

RANDOLPH PLANNING UNIT GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN 09/27

UTAH

COUNTY: RICH
PROPOSED ARE LIVESTOCK GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR THE RANDOLPH PLANNING UNIT IN RICH COUNTY, UTAH. THE PURPOSE IS TO PROVIDE FOR THE 140,298 ACRES, SUSTAINED, LONG TERM, PRODUCTIVE USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES WHICH WILL BE ACCOMPLISHED IN TWO PHASES. THE FIRST PHASE INCLUDES: ALLOCATION OF 22,350 AUMS OF LIVESTOCK FORAGE ON 19 ALLOTMENTS; ALLOTMENT-WIDE CONTINUOUS GRAZING AUTHORIZED ON 15 ALLOTMENTS; AND UNCHANGED GRAZING MANAGEMENT ON 4 ALLOTMENTS. THE SECOND PHASE INCLUDES AN INCREASE OF LIVESTOCK FORAGE ON A SUSTAINED BASIS TO 35,241 AUMS AND LONG TERM MANAGEMENT CONSISTING OF LIVESTOCK GRAZING, VEGETATION TREATMENTS, FENCES, WATER DEVELOPMENTS, AND CATTLEGUARDS. (FES-79-48)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA EPA COE
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 91023)

PARKER MTN. PLANNING UNIT GRAZING MANAGEMENT 09/28

UTAH
COUNTY: WAYNE
PROPOSED IS A GRAZING MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE PARKER MOUNTAIN PLANNING UNIT LOCATED IN WAYNE COUNTY, UTAH. THE UNIT ENCOMPASSES 213,057 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AND WILL BE ALLOCATED WITH THE FOLLOWING AUMS: 1) 11,180 FOR LIVESTOCK, 2) 1,927 FOR DEER, 3) 406 FOR ELK, AND 4) 617 FOR ANTELOPE. THE ACTION WOULD: 1) RESERVE TWO ALLOTMENTS FOR BIG GAME USE, 2) CONTINUE EXISTING GRAZING ON 15 ALLOTMENTS, 3) COMBINE FIVE ALLOTMENTS AND IMPLEMENT REST SEASONAL GRAZING, 4) REDUCE GRAZING USE BY APPROXIMATELY 56% (1,431 AUMS) ON 20 ALLOTMENTS, AND 5) CHANGE SEASON OF USE ON ONE ALLOTMENT. (DES-79-38)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
INDIVIDUALS
(EIS ORDER # 91026)

FINAL DATE

LITTLE LOST-BIRCH CREEK RANGE MANAGEMENT 09/06

IDAHO
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A RANGE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR 332,570 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AND 65, 673 ACRES OF DOE WITHDRAWN LAND LOCATED IN LITTLE LOST-BIRCH CREEK PLANNING UNIT IN BUTTE, CLARK, LEMHI, AND CUSTER COUNTIES, IDAHO. USE OF THE AREA WILL INCLUDE 27, 164 AUMS FOR LIVESTOCK AND 10,453 AUMS FOR WILDLIFE. GRAZING TREATMENTS WOULD BE IMPLEMENTED ON 398,243 ACRES OF PUBLIC LAND AND WITHDRAWAL LAND CONSISTING OF REST-ROTATION ON 181,232 ACRES, DEFERRED ROTATION ON 183,883 ACRES AND SEASONAL GRAZING ON 33,128 ACRES.
(FES-79-39)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOE
STATE AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90942)

FINAL DATE

BIG SKY MINE EXPANSION AND RECLAMATION PLAN 09/25

MONTANA
COUNTY: ROSEBUD
PROPOSED IS AN EXPANSION/RECLAMATION PLAN FOR THE BIG SKY MINE, PEABODY COAL COMPANY, ROSEBUD COUNTY, MONTANA. THE COMPANY PROPOSES TO EXPAND THE EXISTING PLANT AND LOADING FACILITIES, HAUL AND ACCESS ROADS, AND UTILIZE THE EXISTING RAIL SPUR EXTENDING FROM COLSTRIP. AN ESTIMATED 30 MILLION TONS OF LOW-SULFUR COAL WOULD BE REMOVED FROM AN AREA OF ABOUT 894 ACRES OVER A PERIOD OF ABOUT 8 YEARS.
(FES-79-48)

COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA HEW DOI DLAB EPA
STATE AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91009)

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

DRAFT DATE

REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK, GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN 09/25

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: DEL NORTE HUMBOLDT
PROPOSED IS THE GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR REDWOOD NATIONAL PARK LOCATED IN DEL NORTE AND HUMBOLDT COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA. THE PARK ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 106,000 ACRES, OF WHICH ABOUT 25 PERCENT ARE WITHIN THREE CALIFORNIA STATE PARKS. THE PLAN CONTAINS THE VISITOR USE AND FACILITY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, THE CULTURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PLAN, AND MAJOR GOALS AND ACTIONS RELATED TO NATURAL RESOURCES MANAGEMENT AND REHABILITATION. FOUR ALTERNATIVES HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED WITH THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE COMBINING THE NO ACTION, EXTENDED VISIT, AND THE RESTRUCTURED VISITOR USE ALTERNATIVES.
(DES-79-55)
(EIS ORDER # 91013)

FINAL DATE

GATEWAY NATIONAL RECREATION AREA, MASTER PLAN 09/25

NEW YORK NEW JERSEY
PROPOSED IS THE GENERAL MANAGEMENT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION FOR GATEWAY NATIONAL RECREATION AREA LOCATED IN NEW YORK AND NEW JERSEY, TO GUIDE OVERALL PARK MANAGEMENT AND DEVELOPMENT FOR APPROXIMATELY 20 YEARS, AS WELL AS SPECIFIC DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT PLAN IMPLEMENTATION FOR ABOUT 7 YEARS. THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE AT PRESENT ADMINISTERS SOME 89 PERCENT OF THE 8,373 ACRES OF LAND THAT WILL BE OPEN FOR PUBLIC USE WHEN ALL LANDS TO BE DONATED, ACQUIRED, OR TRANSFERRED AS PART OF THE ONGOING LAND ACQUISITION PROGRAM HAVE BEEN PLACED UNDER ITS JURISDICTION. ANOTHER 1,241 ACRES OF LAND WILL REMAIN UNDER OTHER JURISDICTION EITHER AS ENCLAVE PROPERTIES OR AS RIGHT-OF-WAY. (FES-79-45)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA GSA COE DOC DOE HEW HUD DOI
DLAB DOT STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 91008)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

CONTACT: MR. MARTIN CONVISSER, DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
400 7TH STREET, S.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

DRAFT — DATE

BOEING 737 SERVICE TO JACKSON, AMENDMENT — 09/07

WYOMING
COUNTY: TETON
PROPOSED IS AN AMENDMENT OF OPERATIONS SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE FRONTIER AIRLINES INCORPORATED. THE AMENDMENT WOULD AUTHORIZE OPERATIONS OF BOEING 737 JET AIRCRAFT IN PASSENGER-CARRYING SERVICE TO THE JACKSON HOLE AIRPORT LOCATED IN THE GRAND TETON NATIONAL PARK, TETON COUNTY, WYOMING. THREE OF THE CURRENT NINE DAILY CONVAIR 580 FLIGHTS WOULD BE REPLACED WITH BOEING 737 AIRCRAFT. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) NO ACTION, 2) REDUCE NUMBER OF PER DAY FLIGHTS, AND 3) A ONE-YEAR TRAIL PERIOD.
(EIS ORDER # 90948)

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION

DRAFT — DATE

TENTH STREET/TAYLOR ROAD EXTENSION, COLUMBUS — 09/27

INDIANA
COUNTY: BARTHOLOMEW
PROPOSED IS THE EXTENSION OF TENTH STREET NORTHEAST FROM US 31 TO IN-46 AND THE EXTENSION OF TAYLOR ROAD FROM IN-46 TO MARR ROAD LOCATED IN COLUMBUS, BARTHOLOMEW COUNTY, INDIANA. THE PARTIALLY ACCESS CONTROLLED ROADS WOULD BE GENERALLY 2-LANE PAVEMENTS, WITH A 4-LANE CONNECTOR BETWEEN US 31 AND TAYLOR ROAD. RIGHT OF WAY REQUIREMENTS VARY FROM APPROXIMATELY 100 TO 140 FEET. THE TENTH STREET EXTENSION STUDY LINES ARE 0.95 TO 1.67 MILES LONG. THE TAYLOR ROAD STUDY LINES ARE 1.25 TO 1.59 MILES LONG.
(FHWA-IND-EIS-78-06-D)
(EIS ORDER # 91016)

I-59/US 84, LAUREL BYPASS — 09/27

MISSISSIPPI
COUNTY: JONES
PROPOSED IS THE RELOCATION OF I-59 AND US 84 CORRIDORS IN LAUREL, JONES COUNTY, MISSISSIPPI. IN ADDITION TO NO BUILD FOUR OTHER CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED. THE

TERMINI, TYPE OF HIGHWAY, NUMBER OF LANES, AND LENGTH VARIES ACCORDING TO THE ALTERNATE.
(FHWA-MS-EIS-79-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 91017)

US 10A, WEST VALLEY HIGHWAY-ANACONDA 09/24

MONTANA
COUNTY: DEER LODGE
PROPOSED IS THE RECONSTRUCTION OF A PORTION OF US 10A, THE WEST VALLEY HIGHWAY IN DEER LODGE COUNTY, MONTANA. THE PROJECT BEGINS 4.5 MILES WEST OF ANACONDA AT I-90 AND EXTENDS EAST TO I-90 AT ANACONDA. FOUR ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED: 1) A FOUR-LANE ROAD ON THE PRESENT ALIGNMENT WITH A 16 FOOT MEDIAN AND A BIKE PATH-SIDEWALK, 2) A TWO-LANE ROAD ON PRESENT ALIGNMENT WITH BIKE PATH-SIDEWALK AND A FRONTAGE ROAD, 3) A TWO-LANE ROAD SOUTH OF THE PRESENT ROAD, AND 4) NO ACTION. THE LENGTH OF THE PROJECT IS 4.5 MILES.
(FHWA-MONT-EIS-79-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 91003)

OH-8 RELOCATION, HUDSON DRIVE TO OH-303 09/11

OHIO
COUNTY: SUMMIT
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION AND RELOCATION OF 4.5 MILES OF OH-8 BETWEEN HUDSON DRIVE AND OH-303/OH-8 LOCATED IN SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A SIX LANE HIGHWAY INCLUDING GRADE-SEPARATED INTERCHANGES WITH FOUR OF THE SIX INTERSECTING ROADS AT OH-303, SEASONS ROAD, STEELS CORNOR ROAD AND HUDSON DRIVE. SECTIONS OF THE SUMMIT COUNTY BIKE TRAIL WOULD BE RELOCATED WITH THE PURCHASED RIGHT-OF-WAY. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE BUILD AND NO BUILD.
(FHWA-OHIO-EIS-79-02-D)
(EIS ORDER # 90957)

I-33 IMPROVEMENTS, US-69 TO OK-33 09/13

OKLAHOMA
COUNTY: MAYES DELAWARE
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF OK-33 FROM ITS JUNCTION WITH US 69 AT CHOUTEAU IN MAYES COUNTY, EASTERLY TO THE JUNCTION OF OK-33 NEAR KANSAS, DELAWARE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA. THE LENGTH OF THE PROJECT IS APPROXIMATELY 38 MILES. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) CONSTRUCTION OF NEW ALIGNMENT TO THE NORTH OF THE EXISTING HIGHWAY, 2) IMPROVEMENT OF EXISTING ALIGNMENT, 3) CONSTRUCTION OF A NEW ALIGNMENT TO THE SOUTH OF THE EXISTING HIGHWAY, AND 4) DO NOTHING.
(FHWA-OK-EIS-79-03-D)
(EIS ORDER # 90964)

FRANKLIN BY-PASS, TN-6 TO TN-106, FRANKLIN 09/21

TENNESSEE

COUNTY: WILLIAMSON
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE FRANKLIN BY-PASS LOCATED IN WILLIAMSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE. THE PROJECT WILL BEGIN AT TN-6 SOUTH OF FRANKLIN CITY TO TN-106 NORTH OF FRANKLIN. THE FACILITY WILL CONSIST OF A FOUR-LANE RURAL-TYPE DESIGN ON A 250 MININUM RIGHT-OF-WAY. ACCESS TO THE BY-PASS WILL BE LIMITED TO MAJOR ROADS. SIX ALTERNATIVES WILL BE CONSIDERED.
(FHWA-TN-EIS-79-03-D)
(EIS ORDER # 90999)

I-10 AND I-35 IMPROVEMENT, SAN ANTONIO 09/13

TEXAS
COUNTY: BEXAR
PROPOSED ARE IMPROVEMENTS TO I-10 AND I-35 LOCATED IN THE CITY OF SAN ANTONIO, BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS. THE IMPROVEMENTS WILL INCLUDE: 1) PROVIDING ADDITIONAL LANES, AND 2) ELEVATION OF SOME LANES ABOVE THE EXISTING ROADWAYS. THE PROJECT FOR I-35 BEGINS AT THE INTERCHANGE OF I-35 AND I-10/US 90 AND ENDS WITH THE INTERCHANGE OF I-35 AND US 281. THE PROJECT FOR I-10 BEGINS AT ITS INTERCHANGE WITH I-35 AND ENDS NORTH OF FREDERICKSBURG ROAD, LOOP 345. THE PROJECT LENGTH IS 1.6 MILES ON I-35, 3.0 MILES ON I-10 AND 2.7 MILES ON THE JOINTLY DESIGNATED I-10 AND I-35.
(FHWA-TEX-EIS-79-02-D)
(EIS ORDER # 90963)

132ND STREET SE IMPROVEMENT AND EXTENSION 09/05

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: SNOHOMISH
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT AND EXTENSION OF 132ND STREET SE IN SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON. THE IMPROVEMENT AND EXTENSION WOULD TOTAL APPROXIMATELY FIVE MILES AND WOULD PROVIDE AN EAST-WEST ARTERIAL LINKING WA-9 WITH WA-527/I-5. THE IMPROVEMENT BETWEEN WA-527 AND SEATTLE HILL ROAD WOULD WIDEN THE EXISTING TWO LANES TO FOUR LANES PLUS A LEFT TURN LANE, BIKELANES, AND BUS TURNOUTS. EAST OF SEATTLE HILL ROAD TO THE END OF THE EXISTING PAVEMENT WOULD ALSO BE FOUR LANES. THE EXTENSION TO WA-9 WOULD BE FOUR LANES INCLUDING A MEDIAN AND AN INTERCHANGE AT WA-9.
(FHWA-WA-EIS-79-01-D)
(EIS ORDER # 90941)

FINAL DATE

NORTH LITTLE ROCK RIVERSIDE EXPRESSWAY 09/14

ARKANSAS
COUNTY: PULASKI
THE PROPOSED ACTION IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A MULTI-LANE EXPRESSWAY FACILITY IN NORTH LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS. DESIGN CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FACILITY ARE FOUR 12-FOOT TRAVEL LANES DIVIDED BY A 16-FOOT CURBED MEDIAN. ACCESS

WILL BE PARTIALLY CONTROLLED AND RESTRICTED TO SELECTED URBAN STREETS. THE 45 MPH FACILITY WILL BE APPROXIMATELY 7.5 MILES LONG CONNECTING PIKE AVENUE (ARKANSAS HIGHWAY 365) AND THE PROPOSED EAST BELT FREEWAY.
(FHWA-ARK-EIS-77-02-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOT EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90972)

I-575 CONSTRUCTION, CANTON TO NELSON 09/17

GEORGIA
COUNTY: CHEROKEE PICKENS
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF I-575 LOCATED IN CHEROKEE AND PICKENS COUNTIES, GEORGIA. THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS A LIMITED ACCESS INTERSTATE FACILITY, APPROXIMATELY 10 MILES IN LENGTH, OF FOUR LANE HIGHWAY WITH 400 FEET MINIMUM RIGHT-OF-WAY. THE PROJECT WILL BEGIN ON GA-5 JUST NORTHEAST OF CANTON, WITHIN A PREVIOUSLY APPROVED PORTION OF I-575 KNOWN AS THE CANTON BYPASS, AND WILL EXTEND NORTHEASTERLY TO ITS INTERSECTION WITH THE APPALACHIAN HIGHWAY LOCATED WEST OF NELSON. SEVERAL ALTERNATIVES WERE CONSIDERED.
(FHWA-GA-EIS-78-06-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA FERC USDA HUD HEW COE DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90983)

I-675 CONSTRUCTION, I-75 TO I-285 09/17

GEORGIA
COUNTY: HENRY CLAYTON DEKALB
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF I-675 A LIMITED ACCESS INTERSTATE FACILITY WHICH WOULD EXTEND FROM I-75 NEAR STOCKBRIDGE, HENRY COUNTY, GEORGIA, NORTHERLY THROUGH CLAYTON COUNTY TO I-285 IN DEKALB COUNTY, A DISTANCE OF 9.71 MILES. THE HIGHWAY WOULD BE A FOUR-LANE FACILITY FROM I-75 TO ELLENWOOD DRIVE AND A SIX-LANE FACILITY FROM ELLENWOOD DRIVE TO I-285. THE FOUR LANE SEGMENT WOULD HAVE AN EIGHTY-EIGHT FOOT MEDIAN, AND THE SIX-LANE SEGMENT WOULD HAVE A SIXTY-FOUR FOOT MEDIAN.
(FHWA-GA-EIS-77-02-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 90984)

I-75 IMPROVEMENT, CLEVELAND & CENTRAL AVENUES 09/27

GEORGIA
COUNTY: FULTON CLAYTON

PROPOSED ARE TWO CONCURRENT PROJECTS WHICH INVOLVE THE WIDENING AND IMPROVEMENT OF I-75, BEGINNING AT I-285 AND FOLLOWING I-75 NORTHWARD TO THE INTERCHANGE AREA AT THE LAKEWOOD FREEWAY IN CLAYTON AND FULTON COUNTIES, GEORGIA. ALSO INCLUDED WILL BE INTERCHANGE IMPROVEMENTS AT CENTRAL AVENUE AND CLEVELAND AVENUE, WITH AN INTERCHANGE TO BE ADDED TO SERVE HARTSFIELD INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT. THE FACILITY WILL BE LIMITED ACCESS. IN ADDITION TO NO-BUILD, TWO ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.
(EIS-GA-EIS-78-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI HEW FERC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 91019)

COEUR D'ALENE-EAST/I-90 CONSTRUCTION 09/10

IDAHO
COUNTY: KOOTENAI
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF COEUR D'ALENE-EAST/I-90 NEAR THE CITY OF COEUR D'ALENE, KOOTENAI COUNTY, IDAHO. THE TOTAL LENGTH OF THE PROJECT IS 15.1 MILES BEGINNING AT EAST COEUR D' ALENE AND ENDING AT THE FOURTH OF JULY SUMMIT. FROM THE SHERMAN INTERCHANGE TO THE WOLF LODGE INTERCHANGE THE FACILITY WOULD OCCUPY A NEW CORRIDOR FOR 5.5 MILES AND OVERLAY A PORTION OF EXISTING I-90 FOR 1.3 MILES. FROM WOLF LODGE INTERCHANGE THE ALIGNMENT WOULD FOLLOW THE I-90 ROADWAY TO FOURTH OF JULY SUMMIT FOR A LENGTH OF APPROXIMATELY 8.3 MILES.
(FHWA-IDA-EIS-77-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP HUD COE DOI EPA USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS, AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90950)

HOBSON ROAD-ST. JOE ROAD, IMPROVEMENT 09/04

INDIANA
COUNTY: ALLEN
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF HOBSON ROAD AND ST. JOE ROAD IN ALLEN COUNTY, INDIANA. THE IMPROVEMENTS TO HOBSON ROAD WILL CONSIST OF CONSTRUCTING A NEW FOUR LANE, DIVIDED ARTERIAL ROUTE WITH A 16' PAVED MEDIAN FROM US 30 TO TRIER ROAD AND FOUR LANES WITH A 4' MOUNTABLE MEDIAN FROM TRIER ROAD TO STELLHORN ROAD. THE IMPROVEMENTS TO ST. JOE ROAD WILL CONSIST OF A FOUR LANE DIVIDED ROUTE WITH LEFT TURN LANES. LIGHTING WILL BE UTILIZED ALONG BOTH PROJECTS AS APPROPRIATE.
(FHWA-IND-EIS-73-01-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90938)

I-93 & US 1 INTERCHANGE, BOSTON & CAMBRIDGE 09/17

MASSACHUSETTS

COUNTY: SUFFOLK
PROPOSED IS THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE I-93/US 1 INTERCHANGE LOCATED IN THE CHARLESTON SECTION OF THE CITY OF BOSTON, SUFFOLK COUNTY, MASSACHUSETTS. IMPROVEMENTS WILL INCLUDE STRAIGHTENING THE S-CURVE AT THE FOOT OF THE MYSTIC BRIDGE, CONSTRUCTION OF TWO TUNNELS UNDER CITY SQUARE, TWO NEW LOOP RAMPS TO CONNECT TO I-93, REMOVING EXISTING ELEVATED EXPRESSWAY RAMPS OVER CITY SQUARE, AND IMPROVING VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN CIRCULATION IN CITY SQUARE AND ADJACENT SURFACE STREETS. THREE ALTERNATIVES WERE CONSIDERED.
(FHWA-MASS-EIS-77-01-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI DOC DOT COE EPA HUD USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90604)

FINAL — DATE

NY-31 IMPROVEMENT — 09/24

NEW YORK
COUNTY: ONONDAGA
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF NY-31 FROM NY-690 AND NY-481 IN ONONDAGA COUNTY, NEW YORK. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A FOUR-LANE, LIMITED ACCESS HIGHWAY. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) NO ACTION, 2) BUILD ROAD ALONG EXISTING NY-31, 3) START NORTH OF BLADWINSVILLE AT I-690 THROUGH RADISSON TO NY-481, 4) START SOUTH OF BLADWINSVILLE AT I-690 THROUGH RADISSON TO NY-481, 5) TWO ALTERNATIVES STARTING SOUTH OF BALDWINSVILLE PROCEEDING NORTH TO AND ALONG NY-31 TO NY-481, AND 6) START SOUTH OF BLADWINSVILLE AT NY-690 AND PROCEED EAST AND SOUTH TO NY-481.
(FHWA-NY-EIS-74-06-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC HEW DOI DOT EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91007)

BERKLEY AVENUE IMPROVEMENT, NORFOLK — 09/06

VIRGINIA
PROPOSED IS IMPROVEMENT TO BERKLEY AVENUE LOCATED WITHIN THE CITY OF NORFOLK IN THE TIDEWATER PORTION OF VIRGINIA. THE PROPOSED 0.848-MILE PROJECT BEGINS AT STATE STREET AND PROPOSED I-464 AND EXTENDS EAST-NORTH-EASTERLY TO INDIAN RIVER ROAD, 0.026 MILE EAST OF MARSH STREET. PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION CALLS FOR WIDENING BERKLEY AVENUE FROM TWO TO FOUR LANES AND BUILDING A BRIDGE 1300 FEET IN LENGTH FROM SOUTH OF PESCARA CREEK TO EAST OF THE NORFOLK AND WESTERN RAILROAD.
(FHWA-VA-EIS-77-04-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT EPA USDA COE
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90943)

WA-509/WA-705, PACIFIC AVE. TO PORT OF TACOMA RD. 09/10

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: PIERCE
PROPOSED IS THE IMPROVEMENT OF TWO SECTIONS OF WA-509 IN THE CITY OF TACOMA, PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON. THE PROJECT AREA CONSISTS OF A 1.4 MILE NORTH-SOUTH SECTION, WHICH LIES WITHIN A CORRIDOR IN THE VICINITY OF "A" STREET ON THE WEST SIDE OF CITY WATERWAY, AND A 2.3 MILE EAST-WEST SECTION WHICH LIES IN THE CORRIDOR IN THE VICINITY OF SOUTH 20TH STREET. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A FULLY CONTROLLED ACCESS HIGHWAY AND INCLUDE INTERCHANGES AND GRADE SEPARATIONS.
(FHWA-WA-EIS-77-03-F)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE USDA HUD DOI DOT EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90951)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT DATE

CA-118, SIMI VALLEY-SAN FERNANDO VALLEY FREEWAY 09/17

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: LOS ANGELES
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #60479, FILED 4-2-76. PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF CA-118, ALSO KNOWN AS THE SIMI VALLEY-SAN FERNANDO VALLEY FREEWAY LOCATED IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A 6-LANE FREEWAY, CONSTRUCTED FOR AN ULTIMATE 8-LANES, BETWEEN DESOTO AVENUE AND BALBOA BOULEVARD. THIS SUPPLEMENT CONCERNS CHANGES IN THE PROJECT REGARDING THE RINALDI STREET ELEMENTARY SCHOOL AND THE SELECTION OF OPTIONAL DISPOSAL SITES. IN ADDITION, IT DISCUSSES THE PROPOSED METERING OF ON-RAMPS BETWEEN TOPANGA CANYON BOULEVARD AND WOODLEY AVENUE.
(FHWA-CA-EIS-74-08-F-DS)
(EIS ORDER # 90981)

IA-520 CONSTRUCTION, IA-17 TO US 20 09/12

IOWA
COUNTY: WEBSTER HAMILTON
PROPOSED IS CONSTRUCTION OF IA-520 IN WEBSTER AND HAMILTON COUNTIES, IDAHO. THE FACILITY WOULD BE A FOUR-LANE DIVIDED HIGHWAY BEGINNING AT THE JUNCTION OF IA-17 AND THE SECTION OF IA-520 BEING CONSTRUCTED IN HAMILTON COUNTY AND ENDING AT US 20 NEAR MOORLAND IN WEBSTER COUNTY. THE LENGTH OF THE PROJECT IS APPROXIMATELY 20 MILES. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER NO BUILD AND FOUR BUILD ALTERNATES BYPASSING FORT DODGE ON THE SOUTH.
(FHWA-IOWA-EIS-74-12-DS)
(EIS ORDER # 90958)

I-69, US 127 TO EXISTING I-69, MONRICE 09/28

MICHIGAN
COUNTY: CLINTON SHIAWASSEE
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A DRAFT EIS, #40025, FILED 1-3-74, CONCERNING THE CONSTRUCTION OF I-69 FROM US 127 TO EXISTING I-69 IN CLINTON AND SHIAWASSEE, MICHIGAN. THIS SUPPLEMENT DISCUSSES: 1) CONSIDERATION OF ALTERNATES 2W, 3W, AND 1W, WHICH PASS SOUTH OF PARK LAKE, 2) THE ADDITION OF AN INTERCHANGE SITE AT WOODBURY ROAD AND DELETION OF THE FORMERLY PROPOSED SHAFTSBURG ROAD INTERCHANGE, 3) SELECTION OF A NEW PREFERRED ALIGNMENT WHICH AVOIDS SECTION 4(F) INVOLVEMENT.
(FHWA-MICH-EIS-73-06-DS)
(EIS ORDER # 91028)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

EPA, HEADQUARTERS

FINAL DATE

TRUCK-MOUNTED SOLID WASTE COMPACTORS, NOISE 09/27

REGULATORY
PROPOSED IS THE ESTABLISHMENT OF NOISE EMISSION STANDARDS FOR NEWLY MANUFACTURED COMPACTORS AND PROCEDURES TO ENSURE THAT THIS EQUIPMENT COMPLIES WITH THE STANDARD. THE PROPOSED REGULATION IS INTENDED TO REDUCE THE LEVEL OF NOISE EMITTED FROM TRUCK MOUNTED SOLID WASTE COMPACTORS USED IN COLLECTING SOLID WASTES. THE REGULATION IS ALSO INTENDED TO ESTABLISH A UNIFORM NATIONAL STANDARD FOR THIS EQUIPMENT DISTRIBUTED IN COMMERCE, THEREBY ELIMINATING INCONSISTENT STATE AND LOCAL NOISE SOURCE EMISSION REGULATIONS THAT MAY IMPOSE AN UNDUE BURDEN ON THE TRUCK SOLID WASTE COMPACTOR INDUSTRY.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
(EIS ORDER # 91030)

EPA, REGION 3

DRAFT DATE

PATUXENT WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES 09/20

MARYLAND
COUNTY: ANNE ARUNDEL
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF A GRANT FOR THE UPGRADING OF THE PATUXENT WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES IN ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MARYLAND. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDE: 1) UPGRADE EXISTING FACILITIES AND CONTINUE TO TREAT 4.0 MGD 2) CLOSE THE EXISTING FACILITY AND CONSTRUCT A CONVENTIONAL PLANT OR A LAND TREATMENT FACILITY AT ANOTHER LOCATION, 3) UPGRADE EXISTING PLANT TO HANDLE 4.0 TO 6.5 MGD WITHOUT PHASING, AND 4) UPGRADE EXISTING FACILITIES TO HANDLE 6.5 MGD BY PHASING.
(EIS ORDER # 90992)

HORSHAM-WARMINSTER-WARRINGTON WWT GRANTS 09/21

PENNSYLVANIA
COUNTY: MONTGOMERY BUCKS
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF GRANTS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A REGIONAL SEWAGE COLLECTION AND TREATMENT SYSTEM FOR THE COUNTIES OF MONTGOMERY AND BUCK, PENNSYLVANIA. THE PLAN INVOLVES: 1) THE PLACEMENT OF INTERCEPTORS ALONG LITTLE NESHAMINY CREEK IN WARRINGTON AND PARK CREEK IN HORSHAM, 2) UPGRADING AND EXPANDING OF THE EXISTING WARMINSTER STP, AND 3) TERMINATION OF TWO SMALLER STPS IN WARRINGTON.
(EIS ORDER # 90998)

EPA, REGION 4

FINAL — DATE

LAKE APOPKA RESTORATION PROJECT, GRANT — 09/20

FLORIDA
COUNTY: LAKE ORANGE
PROPOSED IS RESTORATION OF LAKE APOPKA LOCATED IN LAKE AND ORANGE COUNTIES, FLORIDA. THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE IS A DRAWDOWN PROCESS WHICH SIMULATES A DROUGHT, AND WILL INVOLVE THE INSTALLATION OF COFFER DAMS, ACCESS ROADS, PUMPING STATIONS, A SETTLING BASIN, CANALS, AND OTHER NECESSARY FACILITIES. MONITORING OF WATER QUALITY AND BOTTOM CONDITIONS WILL BE CONDUCTED FOR AN UNDETERMINED PERIOD FOLLOWING REFILL. A DRAWDOWN OF LAKE BEAUCLAIR WILL FOLLOW THE DRAWDOWN OF LAKE APOPKA TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT.
(EPA-904/9-79-043)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE HEW USDA DOT FERC
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90993)

EPA, REGION 5

DRAFT — DATE

REHABILITATION OF WASTEWATER FACILITIES, STREATOR — 09/07

ILLINOIS
COUNTY: LA SALLE LIVINGSTON
PROPOSED IS THE REHABILITATION OF WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITIES LOCATED IN THE CITY OF STREATOR, LASALLE AND LIVINGSTON COUNTIES, ILLINOIS. THE THREE MAJOR INTERCEPTOR SEWERS IN THE COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM WOULD BE REPLACED. THE TREATMENT PLANT WOULD BE UPGRADED TO INCLUDE NITRIFICATION AND CHLORINATION. THE EFFLUENT DISCHARGED WOULD MEET THE REQUIREMENTS OF A PFEFFER EXEMPTION. COMBINED SEWER FLOWS IN EXCESS OF THE PLANTS CAPACITY WOULD RECEIVE PRIMARY TREATMENT AND CHLORINATION PRIOR TO DISCHARGE INTO THE VERMILION RIVER.
(EIS ORDER # 90947)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT — DATE

O'HARE WATER RECLAMATION PLANT, DES PLAINES — 09/21

ILLINOIS
COUNTY: COOK
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #50747, FILED 5-23-75 CONCERNING THE O'HARE WATER RECLAMATION PLANT LOCATED IN COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS. THE ACTION INVOLVED IS

WHETHER THE GRANT CONDITION SHOULD BE RETAINED, RESCINDED, OR MODIFIED BASED ON RECENT RESEARCH AND SCIENTIFIC EVIDENCE REGARDING THE RELATIONSHIP OF WASTEWATER AEROSALS AND HUMAN HEALTH. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: 1) REMOVING THE CONDITION REQUIRING CONSTRUCTION OF AEROSAL SUPPRESSION FACILITIES; 2) ALLOWING OPERATION WITHOUT SUPPRESSION FACILITIES AND CONTINUE ONGOING ANALYSIS OF HEALTH RISKS; AND 3) NO ACTION, WHICH REQUIRES THE CONSTRUCTION OF SUPPRESSION FACILITIES.
(EIS ORDER # 90996)

EPA, REGION 7

FINAL DATE

LOWER MERAMEC RIVER BASIN WWT FACILITIES 09/14

MISSOURI
COUNTY: ST. LOUIS JEFFERSON
PROPOSED IS AN AREAWIDE WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLAN FOR THE LOWER MERAMEC RIVER BASIN IN ST. LOUIS AND JEFFERSON COUNTIES, MISSOURI. TWO ALTERNATIVES ARE BEING CONSIDERED. THE FIRST INVOLVES A SUBREGIONAL WASTEWATER SYSTEM OF: 1) NINE PLANTS LOCATED AT THE MOUTHS OF CREEKS AND IN DRAINAGE AREAS, 2) TERTIARY TREATMENT CARRIED OUT WITH DISCHARGE TO THE MERAMEC, AND 3) SLUDGE DISPOSAL BY ONSITE LAGOONING. THE SECOND ALTERNATIVE INVOLVES A REGIONAL SYSTEM OF: 1) A SINGLE PLANT NEAR THE MOUTH OF THE MERAMEC RIVER WITH DISCHARGE TO THE MISSISSIPPI RIVER, 2) SLUDGE DISPOSAL BY ONSITE LAGOONING, AND 3) TWO ALTERNATE CONVEYANCE SYSTEMS.
(EPA-7-MO-ST. LOUIS-WWTP-79)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI DOT HUD
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90975)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

CONTACT: MR. CARL W. PENLAND
ACTING DIRECTOR
ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS DIVISION
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION
18TH AND F STREETS, N.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20405
(202) 566-1416

FINAL DATE

FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING, PROVIDENCE 09/24

RHODE ISLAND
COUNTY: PROVIDENCE
THIS ACTION PROPOSES THE LEASE CONSTRUCTION OF A FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING IN PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND. THE NEW FEDERAL OFFICE BUILDING WILL PROVIDE MODERN, EFFICIENT, CONSOLIDATED HOUSING FOR THE VETERANS ADMINISTRATION REGIONAL OFFICE, THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY, AND HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, AND SEVERAL OTHER AGENCIES NOW HOUSED AT VARIOUS SCATTERED LOCATIONS IN THE PROVIDENCE AREA. THE NEW BUILDING WILL PROVIDE APPROXIMATELY 129,000 SQUARE FEET OF AGENCY OFFICE SPACE, TO HOUSE APPROXIMATELY 580 EMPLOYEES. ADDITIONALLY, PARKING WILL BE PROVIDED FOR 40 GOVERNMENT-OWNED VEHICLES.
(ERI 78 001)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI SBA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 91006)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

CONTACT: MR. RICHARD H. BROUN, DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
ROOM 7274
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
451 7TH STREET, S.W.
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6306

DRAFT

DATE

SETTLERS BAY VILLAGE, WASIELA 09/20

ALASKA
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE SETTLERS BAY VILLAGE NEAR WASIELA, ALASKA. THE PROJECT WILL CONSIST OF APPROXIMATELY 1,700 SINGLE-FAMILY LOTS, ROADS, UTILITIES, AND RECREATION FACILITIES.
(HUD-R10-EIS-79-6D)
(EIS ORDER # 90991)

FAIRFAX SUBDIVISION, CHARLESTON 09/04

SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: BERKELEY
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE FAIRFAX SUBDIVISION LOCATED IN CHARLESTON, BERKELEY COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA. THE DEVELOPMENT WILL CONTAIN APPROXIMATELY 707 DWELLING UNITS ON A 272 ACRE TRACT OF LAND. THE DWELLING UNITS WILL CONSIST OF SINGLE-FAMILY AND MULTIFAMILY, RESIDENTIAL UNITS. ALSO PLANNED ARE COMMERCIAL, OFFICE AND INSTITUTIONAL LAND USES.
(HUD-R04-EIS-78-16)
(EIS ORDER # 90936)

SUNCREST FARMS NORTHWEST 09/04

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: STEVENS
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE SUNCREST FARMS NORTHWEST SUBDIVISION IN STEVENS COUNTY, WASHINGTON. THE INSURANCE WILL APPLY TO 800 SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS ON 800 ACRES OF THE 1,195 ACRE PROPOSED SITE. ALSO INCLUDED IN THE DEVELOPMENT WILL BE COMMERCIAL, SCHOOL AND OPEN SPACE AREAS.
(HUD-R10-EIS-79-4D)
(EIS ORDER # 90935)

CLARK COUNTY AREAWIDE APPROACH EIS 09/21

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: CLARK
THIS STATEMENT EXAMINES THE CLARK COUNTY AREA OF WASHINGTON THROUGH THE AREAWIDE APPROACH TO HELP DEVELOPERS IDENTIFY

AREAS FOR DEVELOPMENT WITH LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS. THE CHOICE OF SUCH AREAS WILL AVOID COSTLY DELAYS, DECREASE THE LIKELIHOOD OF LITIGATION, AND INCREASE THE PROBABILITY THAT HUD WOULD APPROVE ASSISTANCE. ONCE AN AREA WITH LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS HAS BEEN IDENTIFIED FOR DEVELOPMENT, SITE SPECIFIC ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS WOULD BE CARRIED OUT ON THE LOCAL LEVEL.
(HUD-R10-EIS-79-1D)
(EIS ORDER # 90994)

INAL DATE

RIVERVIEW ESTATES, FRESNO 09/13

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: FRESNO
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT OF RIVERVIEW ESTATES IN THE CITY OF FRESNO, FRESNO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA. DEVELOPMENT WILL OCCUR ON 354.39 ACRES OF PRESENTLY VACANT GRAZING LAND. INITIAL DEVELOPMENT OF 163.39 ACRES WILL PROVIDE 357 SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES, AND 657 MULTIPLE-FAMILY UNITS. THE DEVELOPER PLANS TO BUILD AN ADDITIONAL 600-800 SINGLE-FAMILY UNITS ON THE REMAINING 191 ACRES. ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE NO PROJECT, AND OTHER USES.
(HUD-R09-EIS-1978-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE GSA DOE VA USDA AHP EPA DOT DOI
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90965)

LAKEWOOD PLANNED COMMUNITY, BOISE 09/12

IDAHO
COUNTY: ADA
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR LAKEWOOD PLANNED RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY IN BOISE, ADA COUNTY, IDAHO. THE PROJECT WOULD BE LOCATED ON APPROXIMATELY 265 ACRES CONSISTING OF APPROXIMATELY 1,575 DWELLING UNITS AND A NEIGHBORHOOD COMMERCIAL CENTER.
(HUD-R10-EIS-79-3F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOT DOE DOC
STATE AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90959)

SUGARMILL SUBDIVISION 09/12

TEXAS
COUNTY: FORT BEND
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE SUGARMILL SUBDIVISION IN FORT BEND COUNTY, TEXAS. THE DEVELOPMENT WILL ENCOMPASS APPROXIMATELY 370 ACRES. WHEN COMPLETED THE PROJECT WILL CONTAIN APPROXIMATELY 1,250 SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES PLUS SOME SHOPPING AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

(HUD-R06-EIS-19F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA COE DOT DOI HEW DOE
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90960)

DRAFT SUPPLEMENT — DATE

FLOWER MOUND NEW TOWN, TERMINATION — 09/21

TEXAS
COUNTY: DENTON
THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #20664, FILED 8-30-71 CONCERNING ISSUANCE OF HUD HOME MORTGAGE INSURANCE FOR THE FLOWER MOUND NEW TOWN LOCATED IN FLOWER MOUND, DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS. THIS STATEMENT CONCERNS THE TERMINATION OF TITLE VII STATUS AND ASSISTANCE AND IMPLEMENTATION OF A PLAN TO DISPOSE OF PROJECT LAND TO VARIOUS PARTIES.
(EIS ORDER # 90997)

SECTION 104(H)

DRAFT — DATE

CITY OF OAKLAND CONVENTION CENTER/HOTEL (UDAG) — 09/05

CALIFORNIA
COUNTY: ALAMEDA
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A UDA GRANT TO THE CITY OAKLAND IN ALAMEDA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A CONVENTION CENTER, HOTEL AND PARKING GARAGE. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED ARE: 1) NO PROJECT, 2) CONSTRUCT CONVENTION CENTER ON PROJECT SITE BUT PLACE HOTEL IN CITY CENTER PROJECT AREA, 3) PLACE PARKING GARAGE UNDERGROUND, 4) LIMIT PROJECT TO ONE BLOCK RATHER THAN TWO BLOCKS, AND 5) CONSTRUCT PROJECT ON ANOTHER SITE IN DOWNTOWN OAKLAND.
(EIS ORDER # 90940)

MT. OLIVE STORM SEWER /TREATMENT FACILITIES (CDBG) — 09/14

NEW JERSEY
COUNTY: MORRIS
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF CDBG FUNDS FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A STORM SEWER AND ASSOCIATED TREATMENT FACILITIES TO PROVIDE IMPROVED DRAINAGE IN THE BUDD LAKE-NETCONG AREA IN THE TOWNSHIP OF MOUNT OLIVE, MORRIS COUNTY, NEW JERSEY. THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM CONSISTS OF TWO MAIN BRANCHING SYSTEMS. THE NORTHERN BRANCH WOULD LIE ALONG SECOND STREET AND WOODLAND AVENUE. THE SOUTHERN BRANCH WOULD LIE ALONG BUDD LAKE-NETCONG ROAD AND WOULD INCLUDE INTERCEPTOR SEWERS ALONG LEHIGH ROAD AND CORNELL STREET. A SILTATION BASIN IS PROPOSED AT THE OUTLET OF THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM IN ORDER TO REDUCE SILT AND OTHER POLLUTANT LOADINGS PRIOR TO DISCHARGING INTO BUDD LAKE.
(EIS ORDER # 90971)

DRAFT DATE

HAMILTON EAST MULTIPURPOSE PROJECT, UDAG 09/12

OHIO
COUNTY: BUTLER
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A HUD/UDA GRANT TO THE CITY OF HAMILTON LOCATED IN BUTLER COUNTY, OHIO. THE PROJECT INVOLVES ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS AND WATER AND SEWER LINES IN SUPPORT OF AN 88-ACRE RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT IN EAST HAMILTON. THIS DEVELOPMENT WILL CONSIST OF 135 SINGLE FAMILY UNITS, 326 MULTIFAMILY UNITS, AND A 180,000 SQUARE FOOT SHOPPING CENTER. SIX ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.
(EIS ORDER # 90962)

FINAL DATE

CAPITOL CENTRE REDEVELOPMENT, MADISON (CDBG) 09/27

WISCONSIN
COUNTY: DANE
PROPOSED IS THE AWARDING OF HUD CDBG FUNDS FOR THE REDEVELOPMENT OF 4.4 ACRES, TO BE KNOWN AS A CAPITOL CENTRE, DOWNTOWN MADISON, DANE COUNTY, WISCONSIN. THE AREA NOW EXISTS AS TWO GRADE-LEVEL PARKING LOTS. THE REDEVELOPMENT WOULD PROVIDE UNSUBSIDIZED HOUSING, SUBSIDIZED HOUSING FOR ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED PERSONS, A SENIOR CITIZENS' CENTER, RETAIL SPACE, OPEN SPACE, AND PARKING RAMPS. THE NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE IS CONSIDERED.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 91018)

FINAL SUPPLEMENT DATE

CHARLESTON CENTER, (UDAG) (FS-1) 09/14

SOUTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: CHARLESTON
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF A UDA GRANT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE CHARLESTON CENTER IN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF CHARLESTON, SOUTH CAROLINA. THE FACILITY WOULD CONSIST OF A HOTEL, CONVENTION FACILITIES, PARKING AND COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS. ALSO PLANNED ARE IMPROVEMENTS TO ADJACENT STREET SYSTEM AND RELATED INFRASTRUCTURE. THIS STATEMENT SUPPLEMENTS A FINAL EIS, #90649, FILED 6-29-79.
(EIS ORDER # 90976)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, ARMY

CONTACT: COL. CHARLES E. SELL
CHIEF OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE
HEADQUARTERS DAEN-ZCE
OFFICE OF THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF ENGINEERS
DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
ROOM 1E676, PENTAGON
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310
(202) 694-4269

DRAFT DATE

FORT MCPERSON AND SUBINSTALLATIONS, CONTINUATION 09/28

GEORGIA
COUNTY: CHARLIE BROWN
PROPOSED IS THE CONTINUATION OF EXISTING ACTIVITIES AT FORT MCPERSON LOCATED IN CHARLIE BROWN COUNTY, GEORGIA AND ITS SUBINSTALLATIONS OF FORT GILLEM, THE FORSCOM FLIGHT DETACHMENT AT CHARLIE BROWN COUNTY AIRPORT, AND THE FORSCOM RECREATION AREA AT LAKE ALLATOONA. ACTIVITIES INCLUDE SUPPORT OF: FORSCOM, VARIOUS MILITARY/NONMILITARY ORGANIZATIONS, APPROXIMATELY 17,000 MILITARY RETIREES AND 34,000 DEPENDENTS, AND WAREHOUSE STORAGE FACILITIES.
(EIS ORDER # 91027)

FORT BEN HARRISON ONGOING MISSION 09/14

INDIANA
COUNTY: MARION
PROPOSED IS THE CONTINUATION OF CURRENT ACTIVITIES AT FORT BENJAMIN HARRISON LOCATED IS MARION COUNTY, INDIANA. AT PRESENT FORT HARRISON IS DESIGNATED AS THE US ARMY SCHOOL CENTER, AND PROVIDES ADMINISTRATIVE AND LOGISTICAL SUPPORT FOR APPROXIMATELY 20 RESIDENT COMMANDS AND ACTIVITIES. FORT HARRISON IS RESPONSIBLE FOR 27 US ARMY RESERVE CENTERS IN INDIANA AND ILLINOIS AND PERFORMING ANNUAL TWO WEEK TRAINING SESSIONS FOR 14 TO 20 US ARMY RESERVE UNITS EACH SUMMER.
(EIS ORDER # 90968)

FORT MONROE ONGOING MISSION 09/14

VIRGINIA
COUNTY: YORK
PROPOSED IS THE CONTINUATION OF CURRENT OPERATIONS AT FORT MONROE LOCATED IN YORK COUNTY, VIRGINIA. FORT MONROE CURRENTLY SUPPORTS: THE US ARMY HEALTH CLINIC, US ARMY TRADOC FIELD ELEMENT, CONTINENTAL ARMY BAND, US ARMY COMMUNICATIONS COMMAND AGENCY, US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND LIAISION DETACHMENT, 560TH MILITARY POLICE COMPANY, US NAVAL SURFACE WEAPONS CENTER, USAF 72ND TATICAL CONTROL FLIGHT, TRADOC WEATHER OFFICE, AND BIG BETHAL RESERVOIR AND RECREATION AREA. THE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDER: MISSION CHANGE, POTENTIAL CLOSURE, REALIGNMENT/

RELOCATION OF MONROE AND/OR SELECTED TENANT ACTIVITIES,
TOTAL CLOSURE, AND NO ACTION.
(EIS ORDER # 90967)

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

CONTACT: MR. BARRY FLAMM
DIRECTOR
OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
ROOM 412-A ADMIN. BUILDING
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

FOREST SERVICE

DRAFT DATE

UMATILLA NF, TIMBER RESOURCE PLAN 09/11

WASHINGTON OREGON
COUNTY: SEVERAL
PROPOSED IS A TEN YEAR TIMBER RESOURCE PLAN FOR THE UMATILLA NATIONAL FOREST LOCATED IN WASHINGTON AND OREGON. THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE INVOLVES THE HIGHEST POSSIBLE ALLOWABLE HARVESTS AND THE MOST INTENSIVE MANAGEMENT POSSIBLE OVER THE NEXT TEN YEARS. MANAGEMENT WOULD INCLUDE: 1) PROMPT REFORESTATION ON AREAS RECEIVING REGENERATION CUTS AND ON ALL NONSTOCKED BACKLOG AREAS, 2) STOCKING LEVEL CONTROL ON ALL ADDITIONAL ACRES NEEDING EITHER PRECOMMERCIAL THINNING OR INTERPLANTING, AND 3) RELEASE OF ALL PLANTATIONS FROM EXCESSIVE COMPETITION FROM OTHER VEGETATION. THREE OTHER ALTERNATIVES ARE CONSIDERED.
(DES-06-14-79-09)
(EIS ORDER # 90955)

FINAL DATE

EAST RIVER LAND MGMT., GUNNISON NF 09/18

COLORADO
COUNTY: GUNNISON
PROPOSED IS A REVISED LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR THE EAST RIVER UNIT OF THE GUNNISON NATIONAL FOREST, GUNNISON COUNTY, COLORADO. THE PLAN WILL AFFECT APPROXIMATELY 234,086 ACRES OF WHICH 179,027 ARE NATIONAL FOREST LANDS. THE PLAN PROVIDES FOR: 6,310 AUMS OF BIG GAME FORAGE, 444,998 VISITOR DAYS OF RECREATION, 14,395 AUMS OF DOMESTIC GRAZING, 1,333 THOUSAND BOARD FEET OF WOOD FIBER, AND 314,200 ACRE FEET OF WATER. THE PLAN ALSO RECOMMENDS THAT TWO ADDITIONAL ROADLESS AREAS TOTALING 20,300 ACRES BE INCLUDED FOR WILDERNESS STUDY. THE ORIGINAL DRAFT EIS, FILED IN DECEMBER OF 1975, WAS REPLACED BY A REVISED DRAFT, #81400, FILED 2-27-78.
(FEIS-76-02)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOI
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90986)

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM PLANNING, REGULATIONS 09/18

REGULATORY
PROPOSED IS THE ISSUANCE OF REGULATIONS TO GUIDE LAND AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLANNING IN THE NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM. THESE RULES REQUIRE AN INTEGRATION OF PLANNING FOR NATIONAL FORESTS AND GRASSLANDS, INCLUDING TIMBER, RANGE, FISH AND WILDLIFE, WATER, WILDERNESS, AND RECREATION RESOURCES, TOGETHER WITH RESOURCE PROTECTION ACTIVITIES AND COORDINATED WITH FIRE MANAGEMENT AND THE USE OF OTHER RESOURCES, SUCH AS MINERALS. THE PROPOSED RULES WILL IMPLEMENT PROVISIONS OF THE FOREST AND RANGELAND RENEWABLE RESOURCES PLANNING ACT OF 1974, AS AMENDED BY THE NATIONAL FOREST MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA CEQ DOC EPA DOI DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS, INDIVIDUALS AND BUSINESSES
(EIS ORDER # 90985)

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

FINAL DATE

NORTH DAKOTA-SASKATCHEWAN INTERTIE, TRANSMISSION 09/24

NORTH DAKOTA
COUNTY: WARD MOUNTRAIL BURKE
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF 135 MILES OF 230 KV ALTERNATING CURRENT TRANSMISSION LINE PASSING THROUGH THE COUNTIES OF WARD, MOUNTRAIL, AND BURKE, NORTH DAKOTA. THE LINE WILL BE CONSTRUCTED FROM BASIN ELECTRIC'S LOGAN SUBSTATION TO THE MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES' SUBSTATION AT TIOGA, WARD COUNTY, TO A POINT ON THE CANADIAN BORDER. THIS PROJECT WOULD PROVIDE A SEASUNAL INTERCHANGE OF 100 MW OF POWER WITH SASKATCHEWAN POWER CORPORATION DURING PEAK CONDITIONS.
(USDA-REA-EIS-(ADM)78-7-F)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT DOI EPA COE
STATE AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 91005)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

DRAFT DATE

SWAN CREEK WATERSHED PLAN 09/18

NEBRASKA
COUNTY: SALINE JEFFERSON
PROPOSED IS THE SWAN CREEK WATERSHED PLAN LOCATED IN SALINE AND JEFFERSON COUNTIES, NEBRASKA. THE PLAN WILL CONSIST OF THE INSTALLATION OF 16 FLOODWATER RETARDING, TWO GRADE STABILIZATION, AND ONE MULTIPURPOSE FLOODWATER-RECREATION STRUCTURES. LAND TREATMENT MEASURES WILL BE INSTALLED

CONSISTING OF CONSERVATION CROPPING SYSTEMS, CONTOUR FARMING, CRITICAL AREA PLANTINGS, GRADE STABILIZATION STRUCTURES, CONSERVATION TILLAGE, FORESTATION, IMPROVED FORESTRY PRACTICES, INCREASED FIRE PROTECTION, WILDLIFE HABITAT PLANTING, AND OTHER FEATURES.
(EIS ORDER # 90987)

LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED PROTECTION 09/11

NORTH CAROLINA
COUNTY: DUPLIN
PROPOSED IS A WATERSHED PROTECTION AND FLOOD PREVENTION PLAN FOR THE LIMESTONE-MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED LOCATED IN DUPLIN COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA. THE IMPROVEMENTS INCLUDE CONSERVATION LAND TREATMENT, 55.9 MILES OF CHANNEL RESTORATION, A 68 ACRE RECREATION IMPOUNDMENT, A 60 ACRE RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT AREA, FISH HOLES ON 20.3 MILES OF CHANNEL AND FISHING ACCESS TO 45.4 MILES OF CHANNEL. CHANNEL EXCAVATION WILL INVOLVE ENLARGEMENT BY EXCAVATION OF 34.1 MILES OF EMHEMERAL STREAMS AND 21.8 MILES OF INTERMITTENT STREAMS.
(USDA-SCS-WS-(ADM)-79-1-(D)-NC)
(EIS ORDER # 90956)

UPPER NORTH LARAMIE RIVER WATERSHED 09/17

WYOMING
COUNTY: ALBANY
PROPOSED IS A WATERSHED PROTECTION PLAN FOR THE UPPER NORTH LARAMIE RIVER WATERSHED LOCATED IN ALBANY COUNTY, WYOMING. THE STRUCTURAL MEASURES PLANNED FOR THIS PROJECT CONSIST OF: 1) CONSTRUCTING A MULTIPURPOSE DAM AND RESERVOIR FOR RECREATION, IRRIGATION AND FLOOD PROTECTION; AND 2) BASIC RECREATION FACILITIES. LAND TREATMENT MEASURES INCLUDE PRACTICES FOR WATERSHED PROTECTION, LAND IMPROVEMENT, AND IRRIGATION WATER MANAGEMENT.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-79-1-D-WY)
(EIS ORDER # 90977)

FINAL DATE

PAW-PAW BOTTOMS WATERSHED 09/27

OKLAHOMA
COUNTY: SEQUOYAH
PROPOSED IS A RC&D MEASURE PLAN FOR 4,030 ACRES OF ALLUVIAL AREA WITHIN PAW PAW BOTTOMS LOCATED IN SEQUOYAH COUNTY, OKLAHOMA. THE PROJECT PLAN INVOLVES 8.13 MILES OF CHANNEL WORK AND THREE GRADE CONTROL STRUCTURES. THE CHANNEL WILL BE TRAPEZOIDAL IN SHAPE. IN ADDITION TO NO PROJECT, TWO ALTERNATIVES WERE CONSIDERED WHICH CONSISTED OF: 1) CHANNEL STRUCTURAL MEASURES, AND 2) NONSTRUCTURAL MEASURES INCLUDING USE OF HIGHER NATURAL LEVEES FOR CROPS, AREAS WITH MODERATE PROBLEMS FOR PASTURE AND HAYLAND, AND USE OF SEVERE AREAS AS NATURAL WILDLIFE AREAS.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-RC&D(ADM)-77-3-F-OK)

COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW DOI DOT EPA
(EIS ORDER # 91024)

POND RUN WATERSHED 09/10

WEST VIRGINIA
COUNTY: WOOD
THE PROPOSED ACTION INVOLVES WATERSHED PROTECTION AND FLOOD PREVENTION OF POND RUN IN WOOD COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA TO BE IMPLEMENTED UNDER AUTHORITY OF THE WATERSHED PROTECTION AND FLOOD PREVENTION ACT. PROJECT ACTIONS WHICH ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE 13,190 LINEAR FEET OF CHANNEL WORK DESCRIBED INCLUDE LAND TREATMENT MEASURES ON 1,185 ACRES OF LAND, CONSTRUCTION OF ONE SINGLE PURPOSE DAM UPSTREAM FROM VIENNA, WEST VIRGINIA, A CONCRETE DROP STRUCTURE, AND THE ENCOURAGEMENT OF SOUND LAND USE ON THE POND RUN FLOOD PLAIN.
(USDA-SCS-EIS-WS-(ADM)-79-4-(F)-WVA)

COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW DOI EPA USDA
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90953)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, NAVY

CONTACT: MR. ED JOHNSON
HEAD, ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT/
RDT&E BRANCH
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS
DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20350
(202) 697-3689

DRAFT DATE

WHARF CONSTRUCTION, ACCESS DREDGING AND DISPOSAL 09/26

VIRGINIA
COUNTY: CHESAPEAKE
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 690 FOOT AMMUNITION-HANDLING WHARF TO BE LOCATED ON THE SOUTHEASTERN SHORE OF LITTLE CREEK COVE, NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE, LITTLE CREEK, VIRGINIA. ASSOCIATED ACCESS DREDGING OF A CHANNEL 700 FEET LONG TO A DEPTH OF 20 FEET PLUS 2 FEET OVERDEPTH BELOW MEAN LOW WATER LEADING TO THE PROPOSED WHARF. ALSO ADDRESSED IN THIS PROPOSAL IS THE BUILDING OF A CAUSEWAY BEHIND THE WHARF IN ORDER TO SUPPORT VEHICULAR TRAFFIC TO AND FROM THE AREA AND DEPOSITING DREDGED MATERIAL IN A DIKED AREA APPROXIMATELY 50 YARDS BEHIND THE WHARF ON LANDS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE.
(EIS ORDER # 91014)

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

CONTACT: MR. WILLARD SITLER
DIRECTOR, ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS OFFICE (66)
VETERANS ADMINISTRATION
810 VERMONT AVENUE
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20420
(202) 389-2526

FINAL DATE

VA REPLACEMENT MEDICAL CENTER, BALTIMORE 09/14

MARYLAND
COUNTY: BALTIMORE
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 400-BED MEDICAL CENTER IN BALTIMORE, MARYLAND TO REPLACE AND UPGRADE EXISTING FACILITIES. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED INCLUDED EXPANSION OF EXISTING FACILITIES, 3 SITES IN DOWNTOWN BALTIMORE, AND NO ACTION. THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE IS A SITE IN DOWNTOWN BALTIMORE OPPOSITE THE UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND HOSPITAL COMPLEX AND WILL INVOLVE THE CLOSING OF THE VA'S FORT HOWARD MEDICAL CENTER.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOT HEW
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
(EIS ORDER # 90974)

VANCOUVER VA MEDICAL CENTER 09/07

WASHINGTON
COUNTY: CLARK
PROPOSED IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A 200-BED REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL FOR THE VANCOUVER VA MEDICAL CENTER, CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON. THE FACILITY WOULD PROVIDE ADDITIONAL MODERN NURSING HOME CARE AND OTHER MEDICAL SERVICES, AND INCLUDES THE DEMOLITION OF SOME BUILDINGS. A LAUNDRY AND WAREHOUSE WILL ALSO BE CONSTRUCTED.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI AHP EPA DOT
STATE AND LOCAL AGENCIES
GROUPS
(EIS ORDER # 90949)

SUMMARY OF 102 STATEMENTS FILED THROUGH

(By Agency)

Agency	Draft EIS's filed during 1979	Final EIS's filed during 1979	Suppl. filed during 1979	Total Actions on which Draft or Final State have been rec.
Agriculture, Department of	62	75	7	144
Appalachian Regional Commission				
Architect of the Capitol				
Canal Zone Government				
Civil Aeronautics Board	1	2	0	3
Commerce, Department of	17	13	15	45
Consumer Product Safety Comm.				
Defense, Department of	0	1	0	1
Air Force	5	1	2	8
Army	29	5	0	34
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers	66	58	27	151
Navy	6	3	0	9
Delaware River Basin Comm.				
Energy, Department of	4	8	3	15
Energy Policy Office				
Energy Research & Devel. Admin.				
Environmental Protection Agency	32	30	2	64
Federal Energy Administration				
Federal Maritime Commission	0	1	0	1
Federal Energy Regulatory Comm.	1	2	1	4
Federal Trade Commission				
General Services Administration	4	5	0	9
Great Lakes Basin Commission	0	1	0	1
HEW, Department of				
HUD, Department of	60	72	5	137
Interior, Department of	52	48	7	107
International Boundary and Water Comm. -- U.S. and Mexico				
Interstate Commerce Commission	2	1	0	3
Justice, Department of	1	0	1	1
Labor, Department of				
Nat'l. Aeronautics & Space Admin.	1	0	0	1
Nat'l. Capitol Planning Comm.	0	0	2	2
Nat'l. Science Foundation	1	0	0	1
New England River Basins Comm.	2	0	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	7	8	3	18
Office of Science Technology				
Ohio River Basin Commission	0	2	0	2
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.				
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corporation	0	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.				
State Department	2	2	0	4
Tennessee Valley Authority	5	2	0	7
Transportation, Department of	93	88	16	197
Treasury, Department of				
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.				
U.S. Postal Service	1	0	0	1
U.S. Water Resources Council	10	4	0	14
Veterans Administration	1	6	0	7
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION				
TOTALS	465	438	92	994

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

102 MONITOR

The 102 Monitor is a monthly publication of the Environmental Protection Agency. In addition to its listing of Environmental Impact Statements which have been filed under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of Section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; information on the environmental studies of the Council on Environmental Quality; and other matters of interest.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $20.00 annually, with an additional $5.00 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.70 domestic and $2.15 foreign and are available from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box SSOS, Washington, D.C. 20402.)

Prices revised May, 1978

CHANGE OF ADDRESS FORM

NAME--FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

Mail this form to: NEW ADDRESS

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office SSOM
Washington, D.C. 20402

Attach last subscription label here.

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

ENTER MY SUBSCRIPTION TO: 102 MONITOR (MNT)

@ $20.00 Domestic; @ $25.00 Foreign

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY | STATE | ZIP CODE

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

(or) COUNTRY

☐ Remittance Enclosed (Make checks payable to Superintendent of Documents)

☐ Charge to my Deposit Account No. .....

MAIL ORDER FORM TO:
Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402